

MOTIVIC REALIZATIONS OF SINGULARITY CATEGORIES AND VANISHING CYCLES

ANTHONY BLANC, MARCO ROBALO, BERTRAND TÖEN, AND GABRIELE VEZZOSI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we establish a precise comparison between vanishing cycles and the singularity category of Landau–Ginzburg models over a complete discrete valuation ring. By using noncommutative motives, we first construct a motivic ℓ -adic realization functor for dg-categories. Our main result, then asserts that, given a Landau–Ginzburg model over a complete discrete valuation ring with potential induced by a uniformizer, the ℓ -adic realization of its singularity category is given by the inertia-invariant part of vanishing cohomology. We also prove a functorial and ∞ -categorical version of Orlov’s comparison theorem between the derived category of singularities and the derived category of matrix factorizations for a Landau–Ginzburg model over a noetherian regular local ring.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Matrix factorizations and derived categories of singularities	5
2.1. Review of dg-categories	7
2.2. Matrix factorizations	8
2.3. dg-Categories of singularities	12
2.4. Comparison	20
3. Motivic Realizations of dg-categories	23
3.1. Motives, BU-modules and noncommutative motives	24
3.2. The realization of dg-categories as BU-modules	26
3.3. The six operations in BU-modules and realizations	28
3.4. The BU-motives of Perf , Coh^b and Sing .	30
3.5. The BU-motives of 2-periodic complexes	36
3.6. Rational Coefficients and Tate-2-periodicity	37
3.7. ℓ -adic realization	39
4. Vanishing Cycles and Singularity Categories	43
4.1. Nearby and Vanishing Cycles	43
4.2. Comparison between Vanishing Cycles and the singularity category	46

Date: March 20, 2017.

Appendix A. The formalism of the six Operations in the Motivic Setting	54
References	60

1. INTRODUCTION

The main objective of this paper is to use both derived and non-commutative geometry in order to establish a precise and fairly general relation between *singularity categories* and *vanishing cycles*. In a first section we work with $S = \operatorname{Spec} A$ with A a commutative noetherian regular local ring (e.g. a discrete valuation ring). We will consider LG-pairs or LG-models over S (LG for Landau-Ginzburg), i.e. pairs $(X/S, f)$ where X is a scheme flat of finite type over S , and $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^1$ is an arbitrary map. One can associate to an LG-pair $(X/S, f)$ two, a priori different, triangulated categories: the derived category of matrix factorization $\operatorname{MF}(X/S, f)$, and the derived category of singularities $\operatorname{Sing}(X/S, f)$ ([Orl04], [EP15]). A fundamental insight of Orlov ([Orl04]) is that, whenever X is regular, and f is not a zero-divisor, then there is an equivalence¹ of triangulated categories between $\operatorname{MF}(X/S, f)$ and $\operatorname{Sing}(X/S, f)$.

Now, both $\operatorname{MF}(X/S, f)$ and $\operatorname{Sing}(X/S, f)$ can be naturally enhanced to dg-categories over A whose associated homotopy categories are the given triangulated categories of matrix factorizations and of singularities. Moreover, Orlov's functor can be enhanced (using derived geometry) to a functor of A -dg-categories, which is furthermore natural in the pair $(X/S, f)$, and shown to be an equivalence under appropriate hypotheses. This is the content of Theorem 2.22, below, where we also discuss a lax monoidal strengthening.

Once we have the A -dg-category $\operatorname{Sing}(X/S, f)$ at our disposal, following [Rob15], we may look at it as an object in the ∞ -category SHnc_S of *non-commutative motives* over S . By [Rob15], we have an ∞ -functor $\mathcal{M}_S : \operatorname{SHnc}_S \rightarrow \operatorname{SH}_S$, from non-commutative motives to the (∞ -categorical version of) Morel and Voevodsky stable category SH_S of commutative motives over S . The functor $\mathcal{M}_S$ is the lax monoidal right adjoint to the functor $\operatorname{SH}_S \rightarrow \operatorname{SHnc}_S$ canonically induced by the rule $Y \mapsto \operatorname{Perf}(Y)$, where $\operatorname{Perf}(Y)$ denotes the A -dg-category of perfect complexes on a smooth S -scheme Y . By [Rob15, Theorem 1.8], $\mathcal{M}_S$ sends the image of the tensor unit $A \in \operatorname{SHnc}_S$ to the object BU_S , representing homotopy algebraic K-theory, i.e. to the commutative motive identified by the fact that $\operatorname{BU}_S(Y)$ is the spectrum of non-connective homotopy invariant algebraic K-theory of Y , for any smooth S -scheme Y . As a consequence, BU_S is endowed with

¹Orlov works with pairs $(X/S, f)$ defined over S the spectrum of a field, and where f is actually flat. This is not enough for our purposes, and we refer the reader to Section 2 of this paper for a discussion of this point.

the structure of a commutative algebra in the symmetric monoidal ∞ -category SH_S . Therefore, $\mathcal{M}_S$ actually factors, as a lax monoidal functor, $\mathcal{M}_S : \mathrm{SHnc}_S \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{BU}_S}(\mathrm{SH}_S)$ via the category of BU_S -modules in SH_S .

The first main idea in this paper (see Section 3.2) is to *modify the functor* $\mathcal{M}_S$ in order to obtain different informations, better suited to our goal. Instead of $\mathcal{M}_S$, we consider a somewhat dual version

$$\mathcal{M}_S^\vee : \mathrm{SHnc}_S \longrightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{BU}_S}(\mathrm{SH}_S)$$

which is, roughly speaking, defined by sending an A -dg-category T to the commutative motive $\mathcal{M}_S^\vee(T)$ that sends a smooth S -scheme Y to the spectrum $\mathrm{KH}(\mathrm{Perf}(Y) \otimes_A T)$ of (non-connective) homotopy invariant algebraic K-theory of the A -dg-category $\mathrm{Perf}(Y) \otimes_A T$ (see Section 3.2 for details). In particular, for $p : X \rightarrow S$, with X quasi-compact and quasi-separated, we get (Proposition 3.9) an equivalence $\mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\mathrm{Perf}(X)) \simeq p_*(\mathrm{BU}_X)$ in $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{BU}_S}(\mathrm{SH}_S)$, where BU_X denotes a relative version homotopy invariant algebraic K-theory, and (Proposition 3.17) an equivalence $\mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\mathrm{Sing}(S, 0_S)) \simeq \mathrm{BU}_S \oplus \mathrm{BU}_S[1]$ in $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{BU}_S}(\mathrm{SH}_S)$. As consequence of this, the motive $\mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\mathrm{Sing}(X, f))$ is a module over $\mathrm{BU}_S \oplus \mathrm{BU}_S[1]$, for any LG-pair (X, f) over S .

The second main idea in this paper (see Section 3.7) is to compose the functor $\mathcal{M}_S^\vee : \mathrm{SHnc}_S \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{BU}_S}(\mathrm{SH}_S)$ with the ℓ -adic realization functor $R_S^\ell : \mathrm{SH}_S \rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(S)$ with values in the ∞ -category of Ind-constructible ℓ -adic sheaves on S with $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -coefficients of [LG14, Def 4.3.26]; the compact objects of the homotopy category of $\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(S)$ recover the compact objects of the usual derived category of constructible ℓ -adic sheaves on S of [BBD82] (see [LG14, Prop. 4.3.17]). Building on results of Cisinski-Deglise and Riou, we prove (see Section 4.2.1) that one can refine R_S^ℓ to a functor, still denoted by the same symbol,

$$R_S^\ell : \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{BU}_S}(\mathrm{SH}_S) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell(\beta)}(\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(S)),$$

where β denotes the algebraic Bott element. We then denote by $\mathcal{R}_S^\ell$ the composite

$$\mathcal{R}_S^\ell : \mathrm{SHnc}_S \xrightarrow{\mathcal{M}_S^\vee} \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{BU}_S}(\mathrm{SH}_S) \xrightarrow{R_S^\ell} \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell(\beta)}(\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(S)) .$$

We are now in a position to state our main theorem comparing singularity categories and vanishing cycles (see Section 4). Let us take $S = \mathrm{Spec} A$ to be a henselian trait with A excellent ² and with algebraically closed residue field k , quotient field K , and let us fix

²In practice we will be working with complete discrete valuation rings. In this case excellence conditions is always verified.

a uniformizer π in A , so that $A/\pi = k$. We denote by $i_\sigma : \sigma := \operatorname{Spec} k \rightarrow S$ the canonical closed immersion, and by η the generic point of S .

Given now a regular scheme X , together with a morphism $p : X \rightarrow S$ which is proper, flat, of finite type, we consider the LG-pair $(X/S, \underline{\pi})$, where $\underline{\pi}$ is defined as the composite

$$\underline{\pi} : X \xrightarrow{p} S \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{A}_S^1.$$

For a prime ℓ different from the characteristic of k , we may consider the following two objects inside $\operatorname{Sh}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(\sigma) = \operatorname{Sh}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(k)$:

- the homotopy invariants $\mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}(X_k, \mathcal{V}(\beta)[-1])^{\text{h}I}$, where $\mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}$ denotes ℓ -adic étale hypercohomology, $\mathcal{V}$ is the complex of vanishing cycles relative to the map p , $\mathcal{V}(\beta)[-1] := \mathcal{V}[-1] \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell(\beta)$ (see Remark 4.8) and $I = \operatorname{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}/K)$ is the inertia group;
- the derived pullback $i_\sigma^*(\mathcal{R}_S^\ell(\operatorname{Sing}(X, \underline{\pi})))$ of the ℓ -adic realization of the commutative motive given by the image under $\mathcal{M}_S^\vee$ of the dg-category of singularities for the LG-pair $(X/S, \underline{\pi})$.

One way to state our main result is then

Main Theorem. (see Theorem 4.5) There is a canonical equivalence

$$i_\sigma^*(\mathcal{R}_S^\ell(\operatorname{Sing}(X, \underline{\pi}))) \simeq \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}(X_k, \mathcal{V}(\beta)[-1])^{\text{h}I}$$

inside $\operatorname{Sh}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(k)$.

What this theorem tells us is that one can recover vanishing cohomology through the dg-category of singularities, i.e. in a purely non-commutative (and derived) geometrical setting. We think of this result as both an evidence and a first step in the application of non-commutative derived geometry to problems in arithmetic geometry, that we expect to be very fruitful. It is crucial, especially for future applications, to remark that our result holds over an arbitrary base henselian trait S (with perfect residue field), so that it holds both in pure and mixed characteristics. In particular, we do not need to work over a base field. The main theorem above is also at the basis of the research announcement [TV16], where a trace formula for dg-categories is established, and then used to propose a strategy of proof of Bloch's conductor conjecture ([Blo85, KS05]). Full details will appear in [TV17].

Related works. The research conducted in the second part of this work has its origins in Kashiwara’s computation of vanishing cycles in terms of D-modules via the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence [Kas83]. A further deep and pioneering work is undoubtedly Kapranov’s influential paper [Kap91] which starts with the identification of D-modules with modules over the de Rham algebra. This relation between vanishing cycle cohomology and twisted de Rham cohomology has been fully understood by Sabbah and Saito in [SS14, Sab10] establishing proofs for the conjectures of Kontsevich-Soibelman in [KS11]. In parallel, the works of [CaaT13, Dyc11a, Seg13, Shk14] established the first link between twisted de Rham cohomology and the Hochschild cohomology of matrix factorizations and more recently, the situation has been clarified with Efimov’s results [Efi12]. The combination of these results express a link between the theory of matrix factorizations and the formalism of vanishing cycles. The recent works of Lunts and Schnürer’s [LS17, Theorem 1.2] built upon Efimov’s work, combined with those of [IS13], show that this connection between the two theories can be expressed as an equivalence of classes in a certain Grothendieck group of motives. The main result (Theorem 4.5) of this paper might, in some sense, be seen as categorification of Lunts and Schnürer’s results.

Acknowledgements. MR wishes to thank Mauro Porta for very useful discussions on the subject matter of this paper. BT was Partially supported by ANR-11-LABX-0040-CIMI within the program ANR-11-IDEX-0002-02. GV would like to thank the Laboratoire IMJ-PRG, Paris 6, for a very fruitful visit in May 2016, when a part of our collaboration took place.

2. MATRIX FACTORIZATIONS AND DERIVED CATEGORIES OF SINGULARITIES

We let A be a commutative Noetherian regular local ring and $S := \operatorname{Spec} A$. For most of the applications in this paper A will actually be a complete discrete valuation ring.

Let Sch_S denote the category of schemes of finite type over S . We introduce the category of *Landau-Ginzburg models over S* as the subcategory of $(\operatorname{Sch}_S)_{/\mathbb{A}_S^1}$ spanned by those pairs

$$(p : X \rightarrow S, f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^1)$$

where p is a flat morphism. We will denote it by LG_S . We will denote by $\operatorname{LG}_S^{\operatorname{aff}}$ its full subcategory spanned by those LG-models where X is affine over S .

We also introduce the category of *flat Landau-Ginzburg models over S* as the full subcategory of LG_S consisting of $(S, 0 : S \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^1)$ (where 0 denotes the zero section of

the canonical projection $\mathbb{A}_S^1 \rightarrow S$) together with those pairs

$$(p : X \rightarrow S, f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^1)$$

where both p and f are flat morphisms. We will denote it by $\mathrm{LG}_S^{\mathrm{fl}}$. We will denote by $\mathrm{LG}_S^{\mathrm{fl}, \mathrm{aff}}$ its full subcategory spanned by those LG-models where X is affine over S .

The category LG_S (resp. $\mathrm{LG}_S^{\mathrm{fl}}$) has a natural symmetric monoidal structure $\mathrm{LG}_S^{\otimes}$ (resp. $\mathrm{LG}_S^{\mathrm{fl}, \otimes}$) given by the fact that the additive group structure on $\mathbb{A}_S^1$ defines a monoidal structure on the category $(\mathrm{Sch}_S)_{/\mathbb{A}_S^1}$,

$$(\mathrm{Sch}_S)_{/\mathbb{A}_S^1} \times (\mathrm{Sch}_S)_{/\mathbb{A}_S^1} \rightarrow (\mathrm{Sch}_S)_{/\mathbb{A}_S^1}$$

given by

$$(X, f), (Y, g) \mapsto (X, f) \otimes (Y, g) := (X \times_S Y, f \boxplus g) \quad (2.0.1)$$

where $f \boxplus g := p_X^*(f) + p_Y^*(g)$ for $p_X : X \times_S Y \rightarrow X$ and $p_Y : X \times_S Y \rightarrow Y$ the two projections. Notice that as the maps to S are flat, the fiber product $X \times_S Y$ is also flat over S , and thus it belongs to LG_S . Moreover, if f and g are flat, then $f \boxplus g$ is also flat (since flatness is stable by arbitrary base-change, and the sum map $\mathbb{A}_S^1 \times_S \mathbb{A}_S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^1$ is flat), and if $g = 0 : S \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^1$, then $f \boxplus g = f$, which is again flat. In particular, the unit for this monoidal structure is $(S, 0)$.

We will denote this monoidal structure on LG_S (resp. $\mathrm{LG}_S^{\mathrm{fl}}$) by $\mathrm{LG}_S^{\otimes}$ (resp. $\mathrm{LG}_S^{\mathrm{fl}, \otimes}$). Obviously $\mathrm{LG}_S^{\mathrm{fl}, \otimes}$ is a full symmetric monoidal subcategory of $\mathrm{LG}_S^{\otimes}$. We use similar obvious notations for the symmetric monoidal subcategories of (flat) affine LG-pairs (recall that S is affine, so that also the affine versions have $(S, 0)$ as the unit).

Remark 2.1. Orlov works inside $\mathrm{LG}_S^{\mathrm{fl}}$ in [Orl04], while Efimov and Positselski work in the whole LG_S in [EP15].

In this section we discuss two well known constructions, namely matrix factorizations and categories of singularities. For us, these will be defined as ∞ -functors with values in dg-categories

$$\mathrm{MF}, \mathrm{Sing}, : \mathrm{LG}_S^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{dgc} \mathbf{at}_A^{\mathrm{idem}},$$

from the category of LG-models to the ∞ -category of (small) A -linear idempotent complete dg-categories. Our MF will be in fact a lax symmetric monoidal ∞ -functor $\mathrm{MF} : \mathrm{LG}_S^{\otimes, \mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{dgc} \mathbf{at}_A^{\mathrm{idem}}$. The first construction we want to describe sends (X, f) to the dg-category $\mathrm{MF}(X, f)$ of matrix factorizations of f . The second one sends (X, f) to $\mathrm{Sing}(X, f)$, the dg-category of (relative) singularities of the scheme X_0 of zeros of f . We

compare these two constructions by means of the so-called *Orlov's equivalence*, which for us will be stated as the existence of a natural transformation of ∞ -functors.

$$\mathrm{Sing} \rightarrow \mathrm{MF}$$

which is an equivalence whenever X is a regular scheme.

The results of this section consist mainly in ∞ -categorical enhancements of well known results in the world of triangulated categories.

2.1. Review of dg-categories. In this paragraph we fix our notations for the theory of dg-categories, by recalling the main definitions and constructions used in the rest of the paper. Our references for dg-categories will be [Toë11] and [Robdf, Section 6.1.1 and 6.1.2].

As an ∞ -category, $\mathbf{dgc}at_A^{\mathrm{idem}}$ is a Bousfield localization of the ∞ -category of (small) A -linear dg-categories with respect to Morita equivalences, namely dg-functors inducing equivalences on the the respective derived categories of perfect dg-modules. The ∞ -category $\mathbf{dgc}at_A^{\mathrm{idem}}$ is naturally identified with the full sub- ∞ -category of $\mathbf{dgc}at_A$ consisting of *triangulated dg-categories*³ in the sense of Kontsevich. Recall that these are small dg-categories T such that the Yoneda embedding $T \hookrightarrow \widehat{T}_{pe} := \{\text{Perfect } T\text{-dg-modules}\}$, is an equivalence (ie, any perfect T^{op} -dg-module is quasi-isomorphic to a representable dg-module). With this identification the localization ∞ -functor

$$\mathbf{dgc}at_A \longrightarrow \mathbf{dgc}at_A^{\mathrm{idem}} \tag{2.1.1}$$

simply sends T to $\widehat{T}_{pe}$.

The ∞ -category $\mathbf{dgc}at_A$ can be obtained as a localization of the 1-category $\mathbf{dgc}at_A^{\mathrm{strict}}$ of small strict A -dg-categories with respect to Dwyer-Kan equivalences and that $\mathbf{dgc}at_A^{\mathrm{strict}}$ has a model structure for this localization [Tab05]. Moreover, both $\mathbf{dgc}at_A^{\mathrm{idem}}$ and $\mathbf{dgc}at_A$ come canonically equipped with symmetric monoidal structures induced by the tensor product of locally flat dg-categories $\mathbf{dgc}at_A^{\mathrm{strict, loc-flat}} \subseteq \mathbf{dgc}at_A^{\mathrm{strict}}$ - namely, those strict dg-categories whose enriching hom-complexes are flat in the category of chain complexes. Finally, the localization functor described above is monoidal with respect to these monoidal structures. We address the reader to [Toë11] for a complete account of the dg-categories and to [Robdf, Section 6.1.1 and 6.1.2] for an ∞ -categorical narrative of these facts ⁴.

³This terminology is not standard, as for us *triangulated* also includes being idempotent complete.

⁴where we use locally cofibrant dg-categories instead of locally flat. The two strategies are equivalent as every locally cofibrant dg-category is locally flat [Toë07, 2.3(3)] and a cofibrant replacement functor is an inverse. See also the discussion in [Robdf, p. 222].

Notation 2.2. For a dg-category T , we will denote as $[T]$ its homotopy category.

At several occasions we will need to take dg-quotients: if $T_0 \rightarrow T$ is a map in $\mathbf{dgc}at_A$, one considers its cofiber as a pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_0 & \longrightarrow & T \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \{0\} & \longrightarrow & T \amalg_{T_0} \{0\} := T' \end{array}$$

in $\mathbf{dgc}at_A$. Note that the homotopy category of T' can be canonically identified with the classical Verdier quotient of T by the image of T_0 . Moreover, we will sometimes need to consider the same cofiber but this time taken in $\mathbf{dgc}at_A^{\text{idem}}$. This new pushout is equivalent to the idempotent completion of the pushout taken in the $\mathbf{dgc}at_A$, thus given by $\widehat{T}_{\text{pe}}$ and its homotopy category can be identified with the idempotent completion of the Verdier quotient of T by T_0 .

To conclude this review, let us mention that for any ring A , $\mathbf{dgc}at_A^{\text{idem}}$ can also be identified with the ∞ -category of small stable idempotent complete A -linear ∞ -categories. The proof of [Coh13] adapts to any characteristic. We address the reader to the discussion [Robdf, Section 6.2] for more helpful comments.

2.2. Matrix factorizations. This section deals with the construction of the symmetric lax monoidal ∞ -functor

$$\mathbf{MF} : \mathbf{LG}_S^{\text{aff}, \text{op}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{dgc}at_A \quad (2.2.1)$$

To define this lax monoidal ∞ -functor we will first construct an auxiliary strict version

$$\mathbf{MF} : \mathbf{LG}_S^{\text{aff}, \text{op}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{dgCat}_A^{\text{strict}}$$

and explain its lax structure. This will then be used to define $\mathbf{MF}$.

Let $(X, f) \in \mathbf{LG}_S^{\text{aff}}$ and let us write $X := \text{Spec } B$, for B flat of finite type over A . The function f is thus identified with an element $f \in B$. We associate to the pair (B, f) a strict $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded A -dg-category $\mathbf{MF}(B, f)$ as follows.

Construction 2.3. First we construct $\mathbf{MF}(B, f)$ as an object in the theory of small strict $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded B -dg-categories, meaning, small strict dg-categories enriched in $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded complexes of B -modules. Its objects are pairs (E, δ) , consisting of the following data.

- (1) A $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded B -module $E = E_0 \oplus E_1$, with E_0 and E_1 projective and of finite rank over B .

- (2) A B -linear endomorphism $\delta : E \rightarrow E$ of odd degree, and satisfying $\delta^2 =$ multiplication by f .

In a more explicit manner, objects in $\mathrm{MF}(X, f)$ can be written as 4-tuples, $(E_0, E_1, \delta_0, \delta_1)$, consisting of B -modules projective and of finite type E_i , together with B -linear morphisms

$$E_0 \xrightarrow{\delta_0} E_1 \quad E_1 \xrightarrow{\delta_1} E_0$$

such that $\delta_0 \circ \delta_1 = \delta_1 \circ \delta_0 = \cdot f$.

For two objects $E = (E, \delta)$ and $F = (F, \delta)$, we define a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded complex of B -modules of morphisms $\underline{Hom}(E, F)$ in the usual manner. As a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded B -module, $\underline{Hom}(E, F)$ simply is the usual decomposition of B -linear morphisms $E \rightarrow F$ into odd and even parts. The differential is itself given by the usual commutator formula: for $t \in \underline{Hom}(E, F)$ homogenous of odd or even degree, we set

$$d(t) := [t, \delta] = t \circ \delta - (-1)^{\deg(t)} \delta \circ t$$

Even though δ does not square to zero, we do have $d^2 = 0$. This defines $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded complexes of B -modules $\underline{Hom}(E, F)$ and sets $\mathrm{MF}(B, f)$ as a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded B -dg-category.

Composing with the structure map $\mathrm{Spec} B \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} A = S$, one can now understand $\mathrm{MF}(B, f)$ as $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded A -linear dg-category. Notice that as A is by hypothesis a local ring, and B is flat over A , being projective of finite rank over B implies being projective of finite rank over A , as flat and projective become equivalent notions as soon as the modules are finitely generated.

Notation 2.4. Throughout this work we will always allow ourselves to freely interchange the notions of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded complexes and 2-periodic $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded complexes via their canonical identification. Namely, $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded complexes of A -modules are identified with $A[u, u^{-1}]$ -dg-modules where u is a generator sitting in cohomological degree 2. This identification induces also an equivalence between the theory of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded A -dg-categories and that of $A[u, u^{-1}]$ -dg-categories and descends to a monoidal equivalence of their homotopy theories, as explained in [Dyc11b, Section 5.1]. Moreover, the results of [Toë07] remain valid.

Example 2.5. One contemplates that $\mathrm{MF}(S, 0)$ can be (by its definition) canonically identified with the dg-category of 2-periodic complexes made of projective A -modules of finite type, i.e, one has an isomorphism of strict dg-categories

$$\mathrm{MF}(S, 0) \simeq \mathrm{Perf}(A[u, u^{-1}])$$

Under the identification of the Notation 2.4, the assignment $(X, f) \mapsto \mathrm{MF}(X, f)$ acquires a pseudo-functorial structure

$$\mathrm{LG}_S^{\mathrm{aff}} \rightarrow \mathrm{dgc}at_{A[u, u^{-1}]}^{\mathrm{strict}}$$

as any morphism of A -algebras $q : B \rightarrow B'$, with $q(f) = f'$, defines by base change from B to B' an $A[u, u^{-1}]$ -linear dg-functor

$$B' \otimes_B - : \mathrm{MF}(B, f) \rightarrow \mathrm{MF}(B', f')$$

Finally, composition along the forgetful functor forgetting the action of u

$$\mathrm{dgc}at_{A[u, u^{-1}]}^{\mathrm{strict}} \rightarrow \mathrm{dgc}at_A^{\mathrm{strict}}$$

allows us to see MF as an A -dg-category.

We will now give a strict version of the symmetric lax monoidal structure on MF :

Construction 2.6. Let (X, f) and (Y, g) be two objects in $\mathrm{LG}_S^{\mathrm{aff}}$, with $X = \mathrm{Spec} B$ and $Y = \mathrm{Spec} C$ (so $f \in B$ and $g \in C$). We consider the pair (D, h) , where $D = B \otimes_A C$ and $h = f \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes g \in D$. We have a natural A -linear dg-functor

$$\boxtimes : \mathrm{MF}(B, f) \otimes_A \mathrm{MF}(C, g) \rightarrow \mathrm{MF}(D, h),$$

obtained by the external tensor product as follows. For two objects $E = (E, \delta) \in \mathrm{MF}(B, f)$ and $F = (F, \partial) \in \mathrm{MF}(C, g)$, we define a projective D -module of finite type

$$E \boxtimes F := E \otimes_A F,$$

with the usual induced $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graduation: the even part of $E \boxtimes F$ is $(E_0 \otimes_A F_0) \oplus (E_1 \otimes_A F_1)$, and its odd part is $(E_1 \otimes_A F_0) \oplus (E_0 \otimes_A F_1)$. The odd endomorphism $\delta : E \boxtimes F \rightarrow E \boxtimes F$ is given by the usual formula on homogeneous generators

$$\delta(x \otimes y) := \delta(x) \otimes y + (-1)^{\deg x} x \otimes \partial(y).$$

All together, this defines an object $E \boxtimes F \in \mathrm{MF}(D, h)$, and with a bit more work a morphism in $\mathrm{dgc}at_A^{\mathrm{strict}}$

$$\boxtimes : \mathrm{MF}(B, f) \otimes_A \mathrm{MF}(C, g) \rightarrow \mathrm{MF}(D, h).$$

These are clearly symmetric and associative. Finally, the construction MF is also lax unital. Indeed, the unit of $\mathrm{LG}_S^{\mathrm{aff}}$ is $(S, 0)$, and as explained in the Example 2.5 $\mathrm{MF}(S, 0)$ is the dg-category of 2-periodic complexes made of projective A -modules of finite type. The lax unity is given by the natural A -linear dg-functor

$$A \rightarrow \mathrm{MF}(A, 0),$$

sending the unique point of A to $(A[0], 0)$ where $A[0]$ is A considered as a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded A -module pure of even degree (and A is considered as an A -linear dg-category with a

unique object with A as endomorphism algebra). This finishes the description of the lax structure on

$$\mathrm{MF} : \mathrm{LG}_S^{\mathrm{aff}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{dgcat}_A^{\mathrm{strict}}$$

We must now explain how to make this a lax structure in the homotopy theory of dg-categories. Following the discussion in the Section 2.1, it will be enough to show that MF has values in locally-flat dg-categories. But this is indeed the case as A is by hypothesis a local ring and by definition the objects of $\mathrm{MF}(B, f)$ are pairs of finitely generated projective B -modules, therefore flat over B , which being B flat over A , are all flat over A . Therefore, the enriching hom-complexes are flat over A . Following this discussion, we can compose the lax monoidal functor

$$\mathrm{LG}^{\mathrm{aff}, \mathrm{op}, \otimes} \rightarrow \mathrm{dgCat}_A^{\mathrm{strict}, \mathrm{loc-flat}, \otimes}$$

with the monoidal localization ∞ -functor

$$\mathrm{dgCat}_A^{\mathrm{strict}, \mathrm{loc-flat}, \otimes} \rightarrow \mathbf{dgcat}_A^{\otimes}$$

followed by (2.1.1), to obtain a new lax monoidal ∞ -functor which we will denote again by MF .

Remark 2.7. As a result of the lax monoidal structure on MF , while $(S, 0)$ is the tensor unit of LG_S , $\mathrm{MF}(S, 0)$ acquires a structure of object in the higher category of commutative algebra-objects $\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathbf{dgcat}_A^{\mathrm{idem}})$. Following the Example 2.5 this corresponds to a symmetric monoidal structure on the dg-category $\mathrm{Perf}(A[u, u^{-1}])$. Contemplating the Construction 2.6 we observe that this monoidal structure corresponds to the standard monoidal product of 2-periodic complexes. Moreover, for any LG-model (X, f) , the dg-category $\mathrm{MF}(X, f)$ becomes an object in the ∞ -category $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{Perf}(A[u, u^{-1}])}(\mathbf{dgcat}_A^{\mathrm{idem}})$ of 2-periodic A -dg-categories. As a consequence of the identification of the two monoidal structures on $\mathrm{Perf}(A[u, u^{-1}])$, the periodic structure induced by the action of $\mathrm{MF}(S, 0)$ is the same as the one present in the Construction 2.3.

The definition of MF in the non-affine case requires one further step as explained in [Orl12, EP15]. Indeed, one is forced to take the dg-quotient of $\mathrm{MF}(X, f)$ by its full subcategory generated by totalizations of exact sequences of matrix factorizations. In the affine case, as exact sequences of projective modules always split, this step is unnecessary. The construction of MF as a lax monoidal functor from affine LG-pairs to A -dg-categories, can be extended to all LG-pairs. Indeed, one can repeat the steps in this section replacing projective B -modules by vector bundles and takes this further dg-quotient. Alternatively,

one can define MF on general LG-pairs as a Kan extension.

Summing up, we have constructed the ∞ -functor

$$\mathrm{MF} : \mathrm{LG}_S^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{dgc} \mathbf{at}_A^{\mathrm{idem}}$$

of matrix factorizations over S , as well as its lax monoidal enhancement

$$\mathrm{MF}^{\otimes} : \mathrm{LG}_S^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{dgc} \mathbf{at}_A^{\mathrm{idem}}.$$

2.3. dg-Categories of singularities. Let (X, f) be an LG-model. In this section we will study an invariant that captures the singularities of $X_0 \subset X$, the closed subscheme of zeros of f . As we will not impose any condition on f , for instance f can be a zero divisor, we have to allow X_0 to be eventually a derived scheme. More precisely, we consider the derived fiber product

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_0 := S \times_{\mathbb{A}_S^1}^h X & \xrightarrow{i} & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ S & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbb{A}_S^1 \end{array} \quad (2.3.1)$$

where the canonical map i is an lci closed immersion, as it is the pullback of the lci closed immersion $S \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^1$.

Remark 2.8. Note that if $(X, f) \in \mathrm{LG}_S^{\mathrm{fl}}$ (i.e. f is flat), then X_0 is just the scheme theoretic zero locus of f . In particular it coincides with the X_0 consider by Orlov in [Orl04]. Therefore, in this case, the derived categories of singularities considered in this paper (see Def. 2.11 and Remark 2.13 below) is (an ∞ - or dg-categorical version of) the derived category of singularities of [Orl04].

For an LG-model (X, f) , with associated derived scheme X_0 of zeros of f , we consider $\mathrm{Qcoh}(X_0)$ the A -linear dg-category of quasi-coherent complexes on X_0 (see [Toë14, Section 3.1] for a survey). We consider the following full sub-dg-categories of $\mathrm{Qcoh}(X_0)$:

- $\mathrm{Perf}(X_0)$: perfect objects over X_0 , meaning, objects $E \in \mathrm{Qcoh}(X_0)$ such that locally it belong to the thick sub-category of $\mathrm{Qcoh}(X_0)$ generated by the structure sheaf of X_0 .
- $\mathrm{Coh}^b(X_0)_{\mathrm{Perf}(X)}$: cohomologically bounded objects $E \in \mathrm{Qcoh}(X_0)$ whose cohomology $H^*(E)$ is a coherent $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{X_0})$ -module and such that the direct image of E under i_* is perfect over X ;

- $\mathrm{Coh}^b(X_0)$: cohomologically bounded objects $E \in \mathrm{Qcoh}(X_0)$ whose cohomology $H^*(E)$ is a coherent $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{X_0})$ -module.
- $\mathrm{Coh}^-(X_0)$: cohomologically bounded above objects $E \in \mathrm{Qcoh}(X_0)$ whose cohomology $H^*(E)$ is a coherent $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{X_0})$ -module⁵.

where we always have inclusions

$$\mathrm{Perf}(X_0) \subseteq \mathrm{Coh}^b(X_0) \subset \mathrm{Coh}^-(X_0) \subset \mathrm{Qcoh}(X_0)$$

$$\mathrm{Coh}^b(X_0)_{\mathrm{Perf}(X)} \subseteq \mathrm{Coh}^b(X_0)$$

and the fact the map $X_0 \rightarrow X$ is a lci closed immersion (of derived schemes), thus preserving perfect complexes, gives us another inclusion

$$\mathrm{Perf}(X_0) \subseteq \mathrm{Coh}^b(X_0)_{\mathrm{Perf}(X)}$$

Remark 2.9. The construction $(X, f) \mapsto X_0$ can be presented as an ∞ -functor. We leave this as an easy exercise to the reader. Moreover, for any map of LG-pairs $u : (X, f) \rightarrow (Y, g)$ there is a well-defined pullback functor

$$\mathrm{Coh}^b(Y_0)_{\mathrm{Perf}(Y)} \rightarrow \mathrm{Coh}^b(X_0)_{\mathrm{Perf}(X)} \quad (2.3.2)$$

Notice that the pullback map $\mathrm{Coh}^b(Y_0) \rightarrow \mathrm{Coh}^b(X_0)$ is not necessarily defined as one would need the map $X_0 \rightarrow Y_0$ to be of finite Tor-amplitude. What is true in general is that $\mathrm{Coh}^-(Y_0) \rightarrow \mathrm{Coh}^-(X_0)$ is defined⁶ and this is enough to show that the restriction (2.3.2) is always well-defined: indeed the proper base change formula applied to the cartesian diagram⁷

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_0 & \xrightarrow{i} & X \\ \downarrow u_0 & & \downarrow u \\ Y_0 & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array} \quad (2.3.3)$$

together with the fact the pullback of perfect complexes is always perfect, tells us that if $E \in \mathrm{Coh}^b(Y_0)_{\mathrm{Perf}(Y)}$ then the direct image $i_*(u_0^*(E))$ in X is perfect and therefore of finite Tor-amplitude. Moreover, as X is an underived scheme, finite Tor-amplitude implies finite cohomological amplitude. As the map $i : X_0 \rightarrow X$ is a closed immersion, $i_* : \mathrm{Qcoh}(X_0) \rightarrow \mathrm{Qcoh}(X)$ is t-exact, and it follows that $u_0^*(E)$ is also of finite cohomological

⁵These are also known as pseudo-perfect complexes ([MR071]) or almost perfect complexes ([Lur16]).

⁶ This is [MR071, Exp. I - 2.16.1] for underived X_0 , i.e. when f is flat. For a general derived X_0 one can use the characterization of almost perfect complexes as almost compact objects given in [Lur16, 7.2.4.10, 7.2.4.17] plus the fact that for a map of connective E_∞ -rings, base change preserves the condition of being cohomologically bounded above as the forgetful functor is both left and right t -exact. Alternatively, and more immediately, use [Lur16, 7.2.4.11-(4) and (5)].

⁷The diagram is cartesian by definition of map of LG pairs.

amplitude. As we know that $u_0^*(E)$ is also in $\mathrm{Coh}^-(X_0)$ we conclude the proof. The construction $(X, f) \mapsto \mathrm{Coh}^b(X_0)_{\mathrm{Perf}(X)}$ can easily be written as part of an ∞ -functor.

We start with an absolute version of the definition of the derived category of singularities:

Definition 2.10. Let Z be a noetherian scheme of finite type over S . The (absolute) derived category of singularities of Z is the dg-quotient $\mathrm{Sing}(Z) := \mathrm{Coh}^b(Z)/\mathrm{Perf}(Z)$ taken in $\mathbf{dgc}at_A^{\mathrm{idem}}$.

We will now consider the derived category of singularities of an LG-pair (X, f) . If (X, f) is an LG-model, we first observe that the canonical closed immersion $i : X_0 \rightarrow X$ is lci (of derived schemes) regardless the fact that f is flat, since i is the derived base change of the lci closed immersion $0_S : \mathrm{Spec} S \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{A}_S^1$ (note that when f is flat, then the derived fiber X_0 of f at 0 coincides with the classical scheme-theoretic fiber, and this assertion boils down to the lci property being preserved under flat base-change). Thus, there are well-defined push-forward $i_* : \mathrm{Perf}(X_0) \rightarrow \mathrm{Perf}(X)$ and $i_* : \mathrm{Coh}^b(X_0) \rightarrow \mathrm{Coh}^b(X)$, and therefore a well-defined induced functor $\mathrm{Sing}(X_0) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sing}(X)$. Moreover, i being of finite Tor dimension, the pullback i^* preserves both bounded coherent and perfect complexes, and induces a well-defined map in the quotient $i^* : \mathrm{Sing}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sing}(X_0)$ with i^* left adjoint to i_* . In this paper we will use the following definition:

Definition 2.11. The *dg-category of singularities of the pair (X, f)* is the homotopy fiber in $\mathbf{dgc}at_A^{\mathrm{idem}}$

$$\mathrm{Sing}(X, f) := \mathrm{Ker}(i_* : \mathrm{Sing}(X_0) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sing}(X)).$$

Proposition 2.12. *For any $(X, f) \in \mathrm{LG}_S$ there is a canonical equivalence*

$$\mathrm{Coh}^b(X_0)_{\mathrm{Perf}(X)}/\mathrm{Perf}(X_0) \simeq \mathrm{Sing}(X, f)$$

where the dg-quotient on the lhs is taken in $\mathbf{dgc}at_A^{\mathrm{idem}}$.

Proof. Clearly, there is a canonical map from this quotient to $\mathrm{Sing}(X, f)$ induced by the universal property of the quotient. This map is an equivalence in $\mathbf{dgc}at_A^{\mathrm{idem}}$. Indeed, this follows because the canonical quotient map $\mathrm{Coh}^b(X_0)_{\mathrm{Perf}(X)}/\mathrm{Perf}(X_0) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sing}(X_0) = \mathrm{Coh}^b(X_0)/\mathrm{Perf}(X_0)$ is fully-faithful as it corresponds to a quotient of a full subcategory with respect to the same subcategory $\mathrm{Perf}(X_0)$ ⁸. Therefore, both

⁸One can use the classical definition of Verdier quotient as a localization to explicitly check the fully-faithfulness of the map.

$\mathrm{Coh}^b(X_0)_{\mathrm{Perf}(X)}/\mathrm{Perf}(X_0)$ and $\mathrm{Sing}(X, f)$ identify with the same full sub-category $\mathrm{Sing}(X_0)$. $\square$

The description of $\mathrm{Sing}(X, f)$ given in Prop. 2.12 is the one we will be using most often.

Remark 2.13. Following [EP15], one could also define the relative derived category of singularities with respect to $X_0 \rightarrow X$, $\mathrm{Sing}(X_0/X)$, as the dg-quotient of $\mathrm{Sing}(X_0)$ by the image of i^* taken in $\mathbf{dgc}at_A^{\mathrm{idem}}$. This differs from our Definition 2.11 (as explained in [BW12, Remark 6.9]). Nevertheless, one can understand both choices of definition as variations of the situation when X is regular, where both agree with $\mathrm{Sing}(X_0)$. Our choice has the advantage of being always equivalent to matrix factorizations of projective modules (as it is proven by [EP15, 2.7] and we shall revisit it in Section 2.4), contrary to the one of [EP15] where one needs to use coherent matrix factorizations.

Remark 2.14. All $\mathrm{Coh}^b(X_0)$, $\mathrm{Perf}(X_0)$ and $\mathrm{Coh}^b(X_0)_{\mathrm{Perf}(X)}$ are idempotent complete A -dg-categories. This is well-known for $\mathrm{Coh}^b(X_0)$ and $\mathrm{Perf}(X_0)$. For $\mathrm{Coh}^b(X_0)_{\mathrm{Perf}(X)}$ this follows because both $\mathrm{Coh}^b(X_0)$ and $\mathrm{Perf}(X)$ are idempotent complete and the pushforward along $i : X_0 \rightarrow X$ is an exact functor thus preserving all retracts that exist.

Our goal is now to exhibit the construction of the derived category of singularities of an LG-model as an ∞ -functor

$$\mathrm{Sing} : \mathrm{LG}_S^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{dgc}at_A,$$

In what follows we will first construct Sing as an ∞ -functor defined on affine LG-pairs. Our strategy will be to build a *strict model* for $\mathrm{Coh}^b(X_0)_{\mathrm{Perf}(X)}$ (see below) and construct the functorialities in this strict setting, transferring them later to the homotopical setting via the localization functor of Section 2.1.

Remark 2.15. The reader should be aware that the construction of Sing as an ∞ -functor can be done using only ∞ -categorical methods, without any rectification step, as suggested in the Remark 2.9. Note however that the comparison with the construction of matrix factorizations requires some steps with strict dg-categories, as our initial definition of MF (Construction 2.3) was indeed given in this setting.

We start with a quick review of a strict model for the derived intersection X_0 . Let $(X = \mathrm{Spec} B, f) \in \mathrm{LG}_S^{\mathrm{aff}}$, corresponding to $f \in B$ for B a flat and finitely presented A -algebra. We consider $K(B, f)$, the Koszul algebra associated to the element $f \in B$.

It is the commutative B -dg-algebra whose underlying complex is $B \xrightarrow{f} B$, with the standard multiplicative structure. We have maps $B \rightarrow K(B, f) \rightarrow B/(f)$. When f is not a zero divisor, these maps make $K(B, f)$ into a cofibrant model for $B/(f)$ as a commutative B -dg-algebra (i.e. the diagram above is a factorization of $B \rightarrow B/(f)$ as a cofibration followed by a trivial fibration). More generally, even if f is a zero divisor, $K(B, f)$ is always a cofibrant commutative B -dg-algebra which is an algebraic model for the derived scheme X_0 of zeros of f .

Example 2.16. Let $B = A$ and $f = 0$. Then $S_0 := S \times_{\mathbb{A}_S^1}^h S$ is the derived self-intersection of zero inside $\mathbb{A}_S^1$. This is explicitly given by the commutative differential graded algebra $K(A, 0) = A[\epsilon]$ with ϵ a generator in cohomological degree -1 with $\epsilon^2 = 0$, with underlying complex

$$0 \longrightarrow A \cdot \epsilon \xrightarrow{0} A \longrightarrow 0 \quad (2.3.4)$$

Remark 2.17. This explicit model for the derived intersection gives us explicit models for Perf , Coh^b , and Qcoh . For instance, there is a canonical equivalence of A -dg-categories between the dg-category $\text{Qcoh}(X_0)$ of quasi-coherent complexes on X_0 , and the A -dg-category of cofibrant $K(B, f)$ -dg-modules, which we will denote as $\widehat{K(B, f)}$. The full subcategory $\widehat{\text{Coh}^b(X_0)} \subset \text{Qcoh}(X_0)$ (resp. $\text{Perf}(X_0)$) identifies with the full dg-subcategory of $\widehat{K(B, f)}$ spanned by those complexes which are of bounded cohomological amplitude and with coherent cohomology (resp. the full sub dg-category of $\widehat{K(B, f)}$ spanned by cofibrant dg-modules which are homotopically finitely presented). Also, the functor i_* has an explicit description as

$$\widehat{K(B, f)} \xrightarrow{i_* := Q_B \circ \text{Forget}} \widehat{B}$$

where Q_B is a cofibrant replacement functor in B -dg-modules and Forget is the restriction of scalars along $B \rightarrow K(B, f)$.

We now discuss a *strict model* for $\text{Coh}^b(X_0)_{\text{Perf}(X)}$, for $X = \text{Spec } B$. We consider the full sub dg-category $\text{Coh}^s(B, f)$ of the strict dg-category of (all) $K(B, f)$ -dg-modules, spanned by those whose image along the restriction of scalars along the structure map $B \rightarrow K(B, f)$

$$K(B, f) - \text{dgMod} \rightarrow B - \text{dgMod}$$

are strictly perfect as complexes of B -modules (i.e. strictly bounded and degreewise projective B -modules of finite type). Notice that as $X = \text{Spec}(B)$ is an affine scheme, the sub dg-category $\text{Perf}(X) \subseteq \widehat{B}$ is equivalent to its full sub-dg-category spanned by strict

perfect complexes (see [TT90, 2.4.1]). Note also that we do not make the assumption that objects in $\mathrm{Coh}^s(B, f)$ are cofibrant as $K(B, f)$ -dg-modules, so there is no fully faithful embedding from $\mathrm{Coh}^s(B, f)$ to the dg-category $K(B, f)\text{-dgMod}^{\mathrm{cof}} = \mathrm{Qcoh}(X_0)$ of cofibrant $K(B, f)$ -dg-modules.

Remark 2.18. More explicitly, an object in $\mathrm{Coh}^s(B, f)$ is the data of a strictly bounded complex E of projective B -modules of finite type, together with a morphism of graded modules $h : E \rightarrow E$ of degree -1 , satisfying $[d, h] = dh + hd = f$. In fact, given a B -dg-module E , the datum of a $K(B, f)$ -dg-module structure on E , restricting to the given B -dg-module structure via the canonical map $B \rightarrow K(B, f)$, amounts to a pair (m_0, m_1) of morphisms $m_\alpha : E \rightarrow E[-\alpha]$ of graded B -modules, where m_0 is forced to be the identity by the fact that the B -dg-module structure is assigned, and $h := m_1$ is subject to the condition $dh + hd = f$. Note also that, as a strict A -dg-category, $\mathrm{Coh}^s(B, f)$ is locally flat. This follows because by assumption B is flat over A and A is a regular local ring.

The dg-category $\mathrm{Coh}^s(B, f)$ is a strict model for the dg-category $\mathrm{Coh}^b(X_0)_{\mathrm{Perf}(X)}$, as stated by the following lemma.

Lemma 2.19. *Let $\mathrm{Coh}^{s, \mathrm{acy}}(B, f) \subset \mathrm{Coh}^s(B, f)$ be the full sub-dg-category consisting of $K(B, f)$ -dg-modules which are acyclic as complexes of B -modules. Then, the cofibrant replacement dg-functor induces an equivalence of dg-categories*

$$\mathrm{Coh}^s(B, f)[\mathrm{q.iso}^{-1}]_{\mathrm{dg}} \simeq \mathrm{Coh}^s(B, f) / \mathrm{Coh}^{s, \mathrm{acy}}(B, f) \simeq \mathrm{Coh}^b(X_0)_{\mathrm{Perf}(X)}$$

In particular, we have a natural equivalence of dg-categories

$$\mathrm{Coh}^s(B, f) / \mathrm{Perf}^s(B, f) \simeq \mathrm{Coh}^b(X_0)_{\mathrm{Perf}(X)} / \mathrm{Perf}(X_0) = \mathrm{Sing}(X, f),$$

where $\mathrm{Perf}^s(B, f)$ is by definition the full sub-dg-category of $\mathrm{Coh}^s(B, f)$ consisting of objects which are perfect as $K(B, f)$ -dg-modules.

Proof. The category of $K(B, f)$ -dg-modules admits a combinatorial model structure inherited by the one from complexes of B -modules. Therefore, it admits a functorial cofibrant replacement dg-functor

$$Q : K(B, f)\text{-dgMod} \rightarrow K(B, f)\text{-dgMod}^{\mathrm{cof}}$$

which we can then compose with the inclusion $\mathrm{Coh}^s(B, f) \subseteq K(B, f)\text{-dgMod}$ to get a strict dg-functor

$$Q : \mathrm{Coh}^s(B, f) \rightarrow \widehat{K(B, f)} \tag{2.3.5}$$

which by definition, sends weak-equivalences to equivalences. By the universal property of the dg-localization we have a factorization in $\mathbf{dgc}at_A$

$$Q : \mathrm{Coh}^s(B, f)[\mathrm{q.iso}^{-1}]_{\mathrm{dg}} \rightarrow \widehat{K(B, f)} \tag{2.3.6}$$

Notice also that by the universal property of the quotient, this dg-localization is equivalent in $\mathbf{dgc}at_A$ to $\mathrm{Coh}^s(B, f)/\mathrm{Coh}^{s,acy}(B, f)$ and the map (2.3.6) is the one induced by the fact that Q sends the full subcategory $\mathrm{Coh}^{s,acy}(B, f)$ to zero.

We show that the dg-functor (2.3.5) is fully faithful with essential image given by $\mathrm{Coh}^b(K(B, f))_{\mathrm{Perf}(B)}$. More precisely, we show that:

- (1) The functor (2.3.6) factors through the full-subcategory $\mathrm{Coh}^b(K(B, f))_{\mathrm{Perf}(B)}$, where it is essentially surjective;
- (2) (2.3.6) is fully-faithful.

Let us start with (1). Of course, as an object $E \in \mathrm{Coh}^s(B, f)$ is strictly bounded, any cofibrant replacement will remain cohomologically bounded. The cohomology groups of E carry a natural structure of $\pi_0(K(B, f)) = B/f$ -module. Moreover, being E levelwise made of projective B -modules of finite type, these same cohomology groups are coherent when seen as B -modules via composition with the surjective map $B \rightarrow B/f$ and therefore are coherent as $\pi_0(K(B, f)) = B/f$ -modules. Therefore, its cofibrant replacement $Q(E)$ is in $\mathrm{Coh}^b(K(B, f))$ ⁹. In fact, $Q(E)$ lives in the full sub-dg-category $\mathrm{Coh}^b(K(B, f))_{\mathrm{Perf}(B)}$. Indeed, notice that by definition of $\mathrm{Coh}^s(B, f)$ the image of E under composition with $B \rightarrow K(B, f)$, which we will denote as $\mathrm{Forget}(E)$, is a strict perfect complex and therefore, is perfect. As the forgetful functor along $B \rightarrow K(B, f)$ preserves all weak-equivalences of dg-modules, $\mathrm{Forget}(Q(E))$ is weak-equivalent to $\mathrm{Forget}(E)$. Finally, by definition of $i_* := Q_B \circ \mathrm{Forget}$ (see the Remark 2.17 for notations) we find that $i_*(E)$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\mathrm{Forget}(E)$ and therefore is perfect.

To show that (2.3.6) is essentially surjective we notice first that as X is affine, the inclusion of strictly perfect complexes over B , $\mathrm{Perf}^s(B)$, inside $\mathrm{Perf}(B)$ is an equivalence. In this case so is the inclusion $\mathrm{Coh}^b(K(B, f))_{\mathrm{Perf}^s(B)} \subseteq \mathrm{Coh}^b(K(B, f))_{\mathrm{Perf}(B)}$. Suppose $M \in \mathrm{Coh}^b(K(B, f))_{\mathrm{Perf}^s(B)}$ is in cohomological degree 0, a B/f -module of finite type. In this case, take any resolution of M by free $K(B, f)$ -dg-modules $E \rightarrow M$. The restriction of scalars of E to B is cofibrant and is degreewise projective over B as $K(B, f)$ itself is strictly perfect over B and M is by hypothesis strictly perfect over B .

Let us now show (2). As $\mathrm{Coh}^s(B, f)$ has a canonical triangulated structure (coming from the strict dg-enrichment) to show that the map (2.3.6) is fully faithful it is enough to show that it is fully faithful on the homotopy categories because of the triangulated nature of the dg-localization. In this case it is enough so show that for any $E \in \mathrm{Coh}^s(B, f)$ and for any quasi-isomorphism $P \rightarrow E$ with P a $K(B, f)$ -dg-module, it is possible to

⁹As A is Noetherian and B is of finite type over A , it is of finite presentation as an A -algebra. Then it is also Noetherian and therefore coherent modules are the same as finitely generated modules.

find an object $P' \in \mathrm{Coh}^s(B, f)$ and a second quasi-isomorphism $P' \rightarrow P \rightarrow E$. But this follows using free resolutions like in (1) above. $\square$

The construction $(B, f) \mapsto \mathrm{Coh}^s(B, f)$ is functorial in the pair (B, f) : if $B \rightarrow B'$ is a morphism sending $f \in B$ to $f' \in B'$, the base change along $K(B, f) \rightarrow K(B', f')$ is induced by the base change $B' \otimes_B -$ given by an A -linear dg-functor

$$B' \otimes_B - : K(B, f) - \mathrm{dgMod} \longrightarrow K(B', f') - \mathrm{dgMod}$$

This restricts to an A -dg-functor

$$B' \otimes_B - : \mathrm{Coh}^s(B, f) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Coh}^s(B', f') \quad (2.3.7)$$

Indeed, the base change of a strictly bounded complex remains strictly bounded and if E is a $K(B, f)$ -dg-module whose levels E_i are projective B -modules of finite type, then the base change $E_i \otimes_B B'$ are B' -modules of finite type and again projective.

We get this way a pseudo-functor

$$\mathrm{Coh}^s : \mathrm{LG}_S^{\mathrm{aff}, \mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{dgCat}_A^{\mathrm{strict}} \quad (2.3.8)$$

which sends $(\mathrm{Spec} B, f)$ to $\mathrm{Coh}^s(B, f)$. Moreover, thanks to the Remark 2.18 this factors through the full subcategory of locally flat dg-categories.

We conclude this Subsection with the following useful result

Proposition 2.20. *We have an equivalence $\mathrm{Sing}(S, 0) \simeq A[u, u^{-1}]$ in $\mathbf{dgc}at_A^{\mathrm{idem}}$, where u has degree 2.*

Proof. Since A is regular, we have $\mathrm{Sing}(S, 0) \simeq \mathrm{Sing}(S \times_{\mathbb{A}_S^1}^h S)$, where $S \times_{\mathbb{A}_S^1}^h S$ is the derived zero locus of the zero-section $0 : S \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^1$. Now, this derived zero-locus is the spectrum of the simplicial commutative ring $\mathrm{Sym}_A^s(A[1])$, whose normalization is the commutative differential graded ring $K(A, 0)$ of Example 2.16. Therefore $\mathrm{Coh}^b(S \times_{\mathbb{A}_S^1}^h S)$ is equivalent to $\mathrm{Coh}^b(K(A, 0))$, i.e. to dg-modules over $K(A, 0)$ which are coherent on the truncation $H^0(K(A, 0)) = A$. It is easy to verify that $\mathrm{Coh}^b(K(A, 0))$ is generated by the A -dg-module A , so that $\mathrm{Coh}^b(K(A, 0))$ is equivalent to perfect dg-modules over $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{K(A, 0)}(A, A)$. Now, using the infinite resolution

$$(\cdots \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} A \xrightarrow{0} A \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} A \xrightarrow{0} A) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} A$$

of A as a $K(A, 0)$ -dg-module, we can directly compute $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{K(A, 0)}(A, A) \simeq A[u]$, with $\deg(u) = 2$. Finally, the full subcategory $\mathrm{Perf}(S \times_{\mathbb{A}_S^1}^h S) \subseteq \mathrm{Coh}^b(S \times_{\mathbb{A}_S^1}^h S)$ is identified with the full subcategory of u -torsion modules (the argument is the same as in [Pre12, Lemma

3.1.1.9]), thus the quotient A -dg-category $\text{Sing}(S \times_{\mathbb{A}_S^1}^h S)$ identifies with $A[u, u^{-1}]$ -perfect dg-modules, i.e. it is Morita equivalent to $A[u, u^{-1}]$. $\square$

Remark 2.21. The fact that $\text{Sing}(S, 0)$ is (monoidal) equivalent to 2-periodic complexes has been proved in the case where A is a field of characteristic zero, see for instance [Pre12, Prop. 3.1.1.4] and [AG15, Section 5.1]. This is an instance of Koszul duality for modules.

2.4. Comparison. In this § we prove the following

Theorem 2.22. *There is a natural transformation of ∞ -functors*

$$\text{Orl}^{-1} : \text{Sing} \rightarrow \text{MF} : \text{LG}_S^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{dgc}at_A^{\text{idem}}$$

which is an equivalence when restricted to the sub-category of LG-models (X, f) where f is a non-zero divisor on X (i.e. the induced morphism $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ is a monomorphism), X/S is separated, and X has the resolution property (i.e. every coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$ -Module is a quotient of a vector bundle).

Theorem 2.22 provides a ∞ -functorial, dg-categorical version of the so-called Orlov's comparison theorem comparing matrix factorizations and categories of singularities (see [EP15, Theorem 2.7] and [Orl12, Thm 3.5]). Note however, that our natural transformation Orl^{-1} is defined also for non-flat LG-models (X, f) , and this was made possible by considering the derived zero locus of f instead of the classical scheme-theoretic zero locus in the definition of the functor Sing (while MF is defined using only non-derived ingredients).

Remark 2.23. Note that for flat LG-models (X, f) , f is indeed a non-zero divisor on X . If we restrict the functor Sing to LG-models (X, f) where f is a non-zero divisor on X , i.e. $f|_U$ is a non-zero divisor for all Zariski open affine subschemes $U \subseteq X$ (this case is of particular interest for us, see Remark 4.1), then the derived fiber X_0 coincides with the classical scheme-theoretic fiber X_0^{cl} (i.e. the truncation of X_0), and one does not need to use derived algebraic geometry at all in the definition of Sing (Definition 2.11). Note, however, that if these conditions are not met, there is no way to avoid taking the derived fiber X_0 . In fact, the push-forward along the closed immersion $X_0^{\text{cl}} \rightarrow X$ does not necessarily preserve perfect complexes, so that a purely classical analog Sing^{cl} of our definition of Sing is simply impossible. And this, regardless, the fact that X may or may not enjoy the resolution property. Moreover, even when the pushforward along $X_0^{\text{cl}} \rightarrow X$ does preserve perfect complexes, so that both our definition of Sing and its purely classical analog Sing^{cl} make sense, then they might differ. As an important example, one could take $(X, f) := (S, 0)$: here $X_0^{\text{cl}} = X$, so that $\text{Sing}^{\text{cl}}(S, 0)$ is defined and is trivial, while $\text{Sing}(S, 0)$ is equivalent to the dg-category of 2-periodic complexes

$\mathrm{Perf}(A[u, u^{-1}])$ (Proposition 2.20), and is therefore equivalent to $\mathrm{MF}(S, 0)$, as an object in $\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathbf{dgc}\mathbf{at}_A^{\mathrm{idem}})$. In particular there is no hope for MF to be equivalent to $\mathrm{Sing}^{\mathrm{cl}}$, when f is allowed to be a zero-divisor.

This and other considerations lead us to believe that the ∞ -functor Orl^{-1} of Theorem 2.22 is an equivalence even without restricting to flat or non-zero divisors LG-pairs: we think this generalization of Theorem 2.22 is important, and will be discussed elsewhere. Granting this fact, we can make a few more observations. First, note that flat LG-pairs (X, f) where X/S is separated and X is *regular* belong to the subcategory for which Orl^{-1} is an equivalence. But unfortunately, the property of being regular is not preserved under base-change, so that these regular flat LG-pairs do not form a monoidal subcategory of the category of flat LG-pairs (recall from Section 2 that $(X, f) \otimes (Y, g) := (X \times_S Y, f \boxplus g)$). However, if we denote by $\mathrm{LG}_S^{\mathrm{fl-qproj}}$ the subcategory of flat LG-pairs (X, f) over S where X/S is quasi-projective (hence separated), then $\mathrm{LG}_S^{\mathrm{fl-qproj}}$ is a symmetric monoidal subcategory of $\mathrm{LG}_S^{\mathrm{fl}}$, and Orl^{-1} will remain an equivalence when restricted to $\mathrm{LG}_S^{\mathrm{fl-qproj}}$ (granting the validity of Theorem 2.22 without the flatness hypothesis) since any quasi-projective scheme over an affine scheme has the resolution property, see e.g. [TT90, 2.1]). In particular, one can use Orl^{-1} together with the symmetric lax monoidal structure on $\mathrm{MF} : \mathrm{LG}_S^{\mathrm{fl-qproj}} \rightarrow \mathbf{dgc}\mathbf{at}_A^{\mathrm{idem}}$ (see Construction 2.6) to *induce* a symmetric lax monoidal structure on the functor $\mathrm{Sing} : \mathrm{LG}_S^{\mathrm{fl-qproj}} \rightarrow \mathbf{dgc}\mathbf{at}_A^{\mathrm{idem}}$, so that Orl^{-1} becomes a lax-monoidal equivalence, that we will denote as $\mathrm{Orl}^{-1, \otimes}$. It would be interesting to make this lax monoidal structure explicit, given the rather explicit form of the functor Orl^{-1} and of its inverse. We will not address this question in this paper, as it is not strictly necessary to its aim. As a consequence of the existence of the lax monoidal natural transformation $\mathrm{Orl}^{-1, \otimes}$, we get an induced *symmetric monoidal* equivalence $\mathrm{Sing}(S, 0) \rightarrow \mathrm{MF}(S, 0)$ that identifies $\mathrm{Sing}(S, 0)$, as an object in $\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathbf{dgc}\mathbf{at}_A^{\mathrm{idem}})$, with the dg-category of 2-periodic complexes $A[u, u^{-1}]$ with its standard monoidal structure. Moreover, for any flat LG-model (X, f) over S with X/S separated and X having the resolution property (e.g. X regular), the two dg-categories $\mathrm{MF}(X, f)$ and $\mathrm{Sing}(X, f)$ are then equivalent as $A[u, u^{-1}]$ -linear idempotent complete dg-categories.

We now address the proof of the Theorem 2.22. By Kan extension and descent, it is enough to construct a natural transformation of ∞ -functors $\mathrm{Orl} : \mathrm{Sing} \rightarrow \mathrm{MF}$ restricted to affine LG-models.

For $(\mathrm{Spec} B, f)$ an affine LG-model, we first define a strict A -linear dg-functor

$$\psi : \mathrm{Coh}^s(B, f) \longrightarrow \mathrm{MF}(B, f)$$

as follows.

Construction 2.24. Recall the description of objects of $\text{Coh}^s(B, f)$ from Remark 2.18: they are pairs (E, h) consisting of a strictly bounded complex E of projective B -modules of finite type, together with a morphism of graded modules $h : E \rightarrow E$ of degree -1 , satisfying the equation $[d, h] \equiv dh + hd = f$.

Given such a pair (E, h) , we define $\psi(E)$ to be the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded B -module associated to E , that is

$$\psi(E)_0 = \oplus_n E_{2n} \quad \psi(E)_1 = \oplus_n E_{2n+1}.$$

We endow $\psi(E)$ with the odd endomorphism

$$\delta := h + d : \psi(E) \longrightarrow \psi(E).$$

We clearly have $\delta^2 = f$, so this defines an object $(\psi(E), \delta)$ in $\text{MF}(B, f)$. Indeed, as E is strictly bounded and each E_i is a projective B -module, each sum above is finite and remains projective over B . This defines an A -linear dg-functor

$$\psi : \text{Coh}^s(B, f) \longrightarrow \text{MF}(B, f).$$

Lemma 2.25. *The dg-functor defined above*

$$\psi : \text{Coh}^s(B, f) \longrightarrow \text{MF}(B, f)$$

sends the full sub-dg-category $\text{Coh}^{s, \text{acy}}(B, f)$ of acyclic complexes, to zero.

Proof. Again, recall from the Remark 2.18 the description of objects in $\text{Coh}^s(K(B, f))$ as pairs (E, h) . Such a pair (E, h) sits in $\text{Coh}^{s, \text{acy}}(K(B, f))$ if and only if there exists a degree -1 endomorphism k of E , with $kd + dk = id$. The endomorphism k defines an odd degree endomorphism of $\psi(E)$ as a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded B -module, so an element $\psi(k)$ of degree -1 in the complex of endomorphism $\underline{\text{End}}_{\text{MF}(B, f)}(\psi(E))$ (i.e. $\psi(k) \in \underline{\text{End}}_{\text{MF}(B, f)}(\psi(E))_{-1}$). By construction this element is a homotopy between 0 and $id + hk + kh$. The endomorphism $u = hk + kh$ is of degree -2 and thus, because E is bounded, we have $u^n = 0$ for some integer n . We see in particular that the identity of $\psi(E)$ becomes a nilpotent endomorphism in the homotopy category $[\text{MF}(B, f)]$. This implies that $\psi(E) \simeq 0$ in $[\text{MF}(B, f)]$ as stated. $\square$

Lemma 2.26. *The dg-functor induced by Lemma 2.25*

$$\psi : \text{Coh}^s(B, f) / \text{Coh}^{s, \text{acy}}(B, f) \longrightarrow \text{MF}(B, f).$$

sends the full sub-dg-category $\text{Perf}^s(K(B, f))$ to zero. As a consequence, it factors as

$$\psi : \text{Sing}(B, f) \longrightarrow \text{MF}(B, f).$$

Proof. It is enough to show that $\psi(K(B, f))$ is a zero object in $\mathrm{MF}(B, f)$, since then ψ also sends to zero the thick triangulated full sub-category generated by $K(B, f)$, namely the whole $\mathrm{Perf}^s(K(B, f))$. By inspecting Construction 2.24, we immediately get that $\psi(K(B, f))$ is the 2-periodic complex

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & id & \\ B & \xrightarrow{\quad} & B \\ 0 & \xleftarrow{\quad \cdot f \quad} & 1 \end{array}$$

To show that it is a zero object in $\mathrm{MF}(B, f)$ it is enough to exhibit an homotopy between its identity map and the zero map. The element $(id_B, 0) \in \underline{\mathrm{End}}_{\mathrm{MF}(B, f)}(\psi(E))_1$ does the job. □

Proof of Theorem 2.22. The conclusion of Lemma 2.26 gives us a natural transformation of ∞ -functors which we will now denote as

$$\mathrm{Orl}^{-1} := \psi : \mathrm{Sing} \longrightarrow \mathrm{MF}.$$

The explicit description of ψ given in the Construction 2.24 is all we need to conclude. Indeed, as observed in [EP15, p. 47], for each fixed (B, f) , the induced triangulated functor

$$[\mathrm{Orl}^{-1}] : [\mathrm{Sing}(B, f)] \longrightarrow [\mathrm{MF}(B, f)]$$

(there denoted as Δ) is an inverse to the functor Σ described in [EP15, Theorem 2.7] (which is an analogue of Orlov’s “Cok” functor in [Orl12, Thm 3.5]), and thus is an equivalence (by [EP15, Theorem 2.7]) on those LG-pairs (X, f) where f is flat (so that the derived fiber X_0 coincides with the scheme theoretic fiber considered in [EP15, Theorem 2.7]), X/S is separated (hence X is), and X has the resolution property, so that the standing hypotheses of [EP15] are met. As all the categories involved are stable, this implies the equivalence of the dg-enrichments. □

3. MOTIVIC REALIZATIONS OF DG-CATEGORIES

In this section we explain how to associate to every dg-category T a motivic BU -module, where BU is the motivic ring-object representing algebraic K -theory. At first we describe some general features of this motivic incarnation of T and then we will study several of its realizations. If R is any realization of motives (e.g. ℓ -adic, étale, Hodge, de Rham, etc), the realization $R(T)$ will carry a structure of $R(\mathrm{BU})$ -module.

3.1. Motives, BU-modules and noncommutative motives. Let again $S = \operatorname{Spec} A$ be any affine base scheme. By [Rob15] we have a symmetric monoidal ∞ -category $\operatorname{SH}_S^\otimes$, which is an ∞ -categorical version of Morel-Voevodsky's stable homotopy category of schemes over S [MV99]. We let Sm_S be the category of smooth and affine schemes over S . It is a symmetric monoidal category for the cartesian product. By definition, SH_S is a presentable stable symmetric monoidal ∞ -category together with a symmetric monoidal ∞ -functor

$$\operatorname{Sm}_S^\times \longrightarrow \operatorname{SH}_S^\otimes$$

universal with respect to the following properties (see [Rob15, Cor. 1.2]) :

- (1) The image of an elementary Nisnevich square in Sm_S is a pushout square in SH_S .
- (2) (Homotopy invariance) The natural projection $\mathbb{A}_S^1 \longrightarrow S$ is sent to an equivalence.
- (3) (Stability) Let $S \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}_S^1$ be the point at infinity and consider its image in SH_S . The cofiber of this map in SH_S , denoted as $(\mathbf{P}_S^1, \infty)$, is $\otimes$ -invertible.¹⁰

In the following, we will denote by $1_S \in \operatorname{SH}_S$ the unit of the tensor structure in $\operatorname{SH}_S^\otimes$. We will also be using the standard notation $1_S(1) := (\mathbf{P}_S^1, \infty)[-2] = \Omega \mathbb{G}_{m,S}$, and $(-)(d) := (-) \otimes 1_S(1)^{\otimes d}$ for the the motivic Tate d -twist, $d \in \mathbb{Z}$, where, as usual, we denote by $[1]$ the shift given by smashing with the topological circle S^1 .

If we denote by $\operatorname{CAlg}(\operatorname{SH}_S)$ the ∞ -category of commutative algebras in SH_S , then there exists an object in $\operatorname{CAlg}(\operatorname{SH}_S)$ representing homotopy invariant algebraic K-theory of Weibel [Wei89, Cis13]. As a functor, it sends $Y \in \operatorname{Sm}_S$ to $\operatorname{KH}(Y)$, the (non-connective) spectrum of homotopy invariant algebraic K-theory of Y . This motive is usually denoted as KH_S but we will denote it here as BU_S , inspired by the topological analogy. The relation between the motive BU_S and the theory of non-commutative motives was studied in [Rob15]. Let us briefly recall this relation. First of all, to every $Y \in \operatorname{Sm}_S$ we can assign a *dg-category over S* , $\operatorname{Perf}(Y)$, of perfect complexes on Y . This dg-category is of *finite type* in the sense of [TV07]. This assignment can be organized into a symmetric monoidal ∞ -functor

$$\operatorname{Perf} : \operatorname{Sm}_S^\times \rightarrow \mathbf{dgc}at_S^{\operatorname{idem}, \operatorname{ft}, \operatorname{op}, \otimes}$$

where $\mathbf{dgc}at_S^{\operatorname{idem}, \operatorname{ft}, \operatorname{op}, \otimes}$ denotes the monoidal full ∞ -subcategory of $\mathbf{dgc}at_S^{\operatorname{idem}, \operatorname{op}, \otimes}$ consisting of S -dg-categories of finite type. One can mimic the construction of motives starting from the theory of dg-categories. More precisely, one constructs a presentable stable symmetric monoidal ∞ -category $\operatorname{SHnc}_S^\otimes$ together with a symmetric monoidal functor

$$\iota : \mathbf{dgc}at_S^{\operatorname{idem}, \operatorname{ft}, \operatorname{op}, \otimes} \rightarrow \operatorname{SHnc}_S^\otimes$$

¹⁰As an object in SH_S , this is equivalent to the tensor product of the topological circle S^1 and the algebraic circle $\mathbb{G}_{m,S}$.

satisfying a universal property analogous to (1),(2), (3) above for SH , namely, every *Nisnevich square of dg-categories* (see [Rob15, Section 33.1]) is sent to a pushout diagram and the pullback along the canonical projection $\mathrm{Perf}(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{Perf}(\mathbb{A}_S^1)$ is sent to an equivalence. Stability is automatic as the image of the cofiber $(\mathbb{P}_S^1, \infty)$ is the tensor unit ([Rob15, Prop. 3.24]). From the universal property of $\mathrm{SH}_S^\otimes$, one then obtains a symmetric monoidal ∞ -functor

$$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{Perf}} : \mathrm{SH}_S^\otimes \rightarrow \mathrm{SHnc}_S^\otimes$$

informally defined by sending a motive Y to the motive of its dg-category $\mathrm{Perf}(Y)$. For formal reasons, this admits a lax monoidal right adjoint $\mathcal{M}_S^\otimes$. By [Rob15, Theorem 1.8] this adjoint sends the image of the tensor unit in $\mathrm{SHnc}_S^\otimes$ to the object BU_S , thus endowing it with a structure of commutative algebra in the ∞ -category SH_S (the construction of this algebra structure has also been obtained using other methods in [GS09, Section 5.2]). Formal reasons then imply that $\mathcal{M}_S^\otimes$ factors as a lax monoidal functor via the theory of BU -modules

$$\mathrm{SHnc}_S^\otimes \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{BU}_S}(\mathrm{SH}_S)^\otimes$$

which we will again denote as $\mathcal{M}_S^\otimes$.

Remark 3.1. (Algebraic Bott periodicity¹¹) The object BU_S reflects the projective bundle theorem in algebraic K-theory in the form of a periodicity given by the Bott isomorphism

$$\mathrm{BU}_S \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{SH}_S}((\mathbb{P}_S^1, \infty), \mathrm{BU}_S) \simeq \mathrm{BU}_S(-1)[-2] \quad (3.1.1)$$

One can find this as a consequence of the fact that the non-commutative motive of $(\mathbb{P}_S^1, \infty)$ is a tensor unit (see [Rob15, Lemma 3.25]):

$$\mathrm{BU}_S \simeq \mathcal{M}_S(\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{SHnc}_S}(1_S^{\mathrm{nc}}, 1_S^{\mathrm{nc}})) \simeq \mathcal{M}_S(\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{SHnc}_S}(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{Perf}}(\mathbb{P}_S^1, \infty), 1_S^{\mathrm{nc}})) \xrightarrow{\sim}$$

$$\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{SH}_S}((\mathbb{P}_S^1, \infty), \mathcal{M}_S(1_S^{\mathrm{nc}})) \simeq \mathrm{BU}_S(-1)[-2]$$

Notice that as all the functors used here are lax monoidal and the tensor unit in non-commutative motives has a unique structure of commutative algebra object, the map in the equivalence (3.1.1) is in fact BU_S -linear. Therefore, it is completely determined by a map in SH_S

$$u : 1_S(1)[2] \rightarrow \mathrm{BU}_S \quad (3.1.2)$$

¹¹This works for any base scheme S of finite Krull dimension.

which, unwinding the argument in the proof of [Rob15, Lemma 3.25] one sees, corresponds to the element $\beta^{-1} =: [\mathcal{O}(1)] - [\mathcal{O}]$ in $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{P}_S^1)$.

Moreover, as $(\mathbb{P}_S^1, \infty) \simeq 1_S(1)[2]$ is $\otimes$ -invertible, we can tensor (3.1.1) on both sides by $1_S(1)[2]$ and obtain

$$\mathrm{BU}_S(1)[2] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{BU}_S \quad (3.1.3)$$

The map (3.1.3) corresponds to the composition

$$\mathrm{BU}_S(1)[2] \xrightarrow{Id \otimes \beta^{-1}} \mathrm{BU}_S \otimes \mathrm{BU}_S \longrightarrow \mathrm{BU}_S$$

where the last map is the multiplication map of the commutative algebra structure on BU_S . The element β^{-1} is invertible with inverse corresponding to a map

$$\beta : 1_S(-1)[-2] \rightarrow \mathrm{BU}_S \quad (3.1.4)$$

3.2. The realization of dg-categories as BU-modules. The construction $\mathcal{M}_S^\otimes$ gives us a way to assign a motive to a dg-category of finite type via the composition with the universal map $\mathbf{dgc}at_S^{\mathrm{idem}, \mathrm{ft}, \mathrm{op}, \otimes} \rightarrow \mathrm{SHnc}_S^\otimes$. We will use it to produce a more interesting assignment. By construction, $\mathrm{SHnc}_S^\otimes$ is a stable presentable symmetric monoidal ∞ -category. As such, it admits internal-hom objects $\mathbf{RHom}_{\mathrm{SHnc}}$ and in particular, there exists an ∞ -functor

$$\mathbf{RHom}_{\mathrm{SHnc}}(-, 1_S^{\mathrm{nc}}) : \mathrm{SHnc}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{SHnc}$$

where 1_S^{nc} is the tensor unit. Of most importance to us is the fact that this functor can be endowed with a lax monoidal structure [Lur16, 5.2.2.25, 5.2.5.10, 5.2.5.27]

$$\mathbf{RHom}_{\mathrm{SHnc}}(-, 1_S^{\mathrm{nc}}) : \mathrm{SHnc}_S^{\mathrm{op}, \otimes} \rightarrow \mathrm{SHnc}_S^\otimes$$

The composition

$$\mathbf{dgc}at_S^{\mathrm{idem}, \mathrm{ft}, \otimes} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathrm{SHnc}_S^{\mathrm{op}, \otimes} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{RHom}_{\mathrm{SHnc}}(-, 1_S^{\mathrm{nc}})} \mathrm{SHnc}_S^\otimes$$

is lax monoidal. We now recall that dg-categories of finite type generate all the Morita theory of dg-categories under filtered colimits i.e. $\mathrm{Ind}(\mathbf{dgc}at_S^{\mathrm{idem}, \mathrm{ft}})^\otimes \simeq \mathbf{dgc}at_S^{\mathrm{idem}, \otimes}$ ¹². As SHnc_S is presentable, we obtain via the universal property of the convolution product in Ind-objects [Lur16, 4.8.1.10], an induced lax symmetric monoidal functor

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{dgc}at_S^{\mathrm{idem}, \mathrm{ft}, \otimes} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{SHnc}_S^\otimes \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \mu_S^\otimes & \\ \mathbf{dgc}at_S^{\mathrm{idem}, \otimes} & & \end{array} \quad (3.2.1)$$

¹²See [TV07] and the ∞ -categorical narrative in [Robdf, 6.1.27]

Informally, if T is an S -dg-category of finite type, and we write again T for its image in SHnc_S , the object $\mu_S^\otimes(T) = \mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{SHnc}}(T, 1_S^{\mathrm{nc}})$ can be described¹³ as the ∞ -functor sending a dg-category of finite type T' over S to the mapping spectrum

$$\mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{SHnc}_S}(T', \mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{SHnc}}(T, 1_S^{\mathrm{nc}})) \simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{SHnc}_S}(T' \otimes_S T, 1_S^{\mathrm{nc}})$$

which following [Rob15, Theorem 1.8 (ii) and Cor 4.8] is the spectrum of homotopy invariant K-theory

$$\mathrm{KH}(T' \otimes_S T)$$

More generally, for $T \in \mathbf{dgc}at_S^{\mathrm{idem}}$ we can write T as a filtered colimit of dg-categories of finite type and as KH commutes with filtered colimits (this is well known but see [Bla13, Prop. 2.8]), and the same holds for tensor product of dg-categories, we conclude that T is sent to the object in SHnc_S , defined by the ∞ -functor $\mathrm{KH}(- \otimes_S T)$.

We will denote by $\mathcal{M}_S^\vee$ the composition of the lax monoidal functors

$$\mathbf{dgc}at_S^{\mathrm{idem}, \otimes} \xrightarrow{\mu_S^\otimes} \mathrm{SHnc}_S^\otimes \xrightarrow{\mathcal{M}_S^\otimes} \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{BU}_S}(\mathrm{SH}_S)^\otimes$$

Remark 3.2. Notice that as $\mathcal{M}_S$ commutes with filtered colimits, this composition is also the functor obtained by the monoidal universal property of the Ind-completion. To see that $\mathcal{M}_S$ commutes with filtered colimits it is enough to test on compact generators [Robdf, Prop. 5.3.3 and 6.4.24] and use the fact $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{Perf}}$ preserve compact generators.

By the definition of $\mathcal{M}_S$ as a right adjoint to $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{Perf}}$ and following the previous discussion, the motive $\mathcal{M}_S^\vee(T)$ in SH_S represents the ∞ -functor sending a smooth scheme X over S to the spectrum $\mathrm{KH}(\mathrm{Perf}(X) \otimes_S T)$.

Remark 3.3. To conclude this first section on motives of dg-categories, we remark that $\mathcal{M}_S^\vee$ sends exact sequences of dg-categories (see the discussion in [Rob15, Section 1.5.4]) to cofiber-fiber sequences in the stable ∞ -category of BU_S -modules. Indeed, this follows because cofiber sequences of dg-categories are stable under tensor products and because homotopy K-theory sends exact sequences of dg-categories to cofiber-fiber sequences in spectra (see the details in [Rob15, Prop. 417 and Prop. 3.19]).

Remark 3.4. In [CT11, Tab08, CT12] Cisinski and Tabuada introduced an alternative category of non-commutative motives $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{Tab}}^{\mathrm{Loc}, \otimes}$ which is dual to the one used here. Indeed, there is a duality blocking a direct comparison between $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{Tab}}^{\mathrm{Loc}, \otimes}$ and $\mathrm{SH}^\otimes$. The category $\mathrm{SHnc}^\otimes$ was designed to avoid this obstruction (see [Rob15, Appendix A] for the comparison

¹³It is helpful to remind the reader that SHnc_S can be constructed as a localization of the of presheaves in $\mathbf{dgc}at_A^{\mathrm{mor}, \mathrm{op}, \mathrm{ft}}$ with values in the ∞ -category of spectra Sp , by forcing Nisnevich descent and $\mathrm{Perf}(\mathbb{A}_S^1)$ -invariance - see [Rob15, Section 33]

between the two approaches). It is exactly this duality that we encode in our construction of motivic realizations of dg-categories via the functor $\mu^\otimes$. As in [Rob15, Appendix A], let $\mathcal{M}_{Tab}^{\text{Nis}, \otimes}$ be the Nisnevich version of the construction of Tabuada-Cisinski. Then, by definition of $\mathcal{M}_{Tab}^{\text{Nis}, \otimes}$, the functor $\mu^\otimes$ of the diagram (3.2.1) factors in a unique way as a lax monoidal functor

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{dgc}at_S & \xrightarrow{\text{idem}, \text{ft}, \otimes, \mu_S^\otimes} & \mathbf{SHnc}_S^\otimes \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \text{dashed} & \\ \mathcal{M}_{Tab}^{\text{Nis}, \otimes} & & \end{array} \quad (3.2.2)$$

This exhibits $\mathcal{M}_{Tab}^{\text{Nis}, \otimes}$ as a universal motivic realization of dg-categories (see next section for more on realizations).

3.3. The six operations in BU-modules and realizations. Before continuing towards our main goals we will need to discuss some functorial aspects. In the last two section we were working with motives over a fixed base S . It is possible to work in a relative setting. Let $\text{Sch}/_S$ denote a category of S -schemes satisfying the properties [CD12, 2.0]¹⁴. For every $X \in \text{Sch}/_S$ we can construct a stable presentable symmetric monoidal ∞ -category $\mathbf{SH}_X^\otimes$ encoding the motivic homotopy theory of Morel-Voevodsky over X . Moreover, we can make the assignment $X \mapsto \mathbf{SH}_X^\otimes$ functorial in X , given by an ∞ -functor

$$\mathbf{SH}^\otimes : \text{Sch}/_S^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{CAlg}(\mathbf{Pr}_{\text{Stb}}^{\text{L}})$$

This is done in [Robdf, Section 9.1]. This ∞ -functor comes together with a more complex system of functorialities encoding the six operations of Grothendieck. See the Appendix A.

We will be interested in several *motivic realizations*. For us, a motivic realization consists of an ∞ -functor

$$\mathbf{D}^\otimes : \text{Sch}/_S^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{CAlg}(\mathbf{Pr}_{\text{Stb}}^{\text{L}})$$

enriched with a system of six operations, plus the data of a natural transformation

$$\mathbf{SH}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^\otimes$$

and a system of compatibilities between the six operations. (see Prop. A.4). Of main importance to us are the étale and ℓ -adic realizations which we will explore later in this section.

¹⁴In the case of interest to this paper, S will be an henselian trait and $\text{Sch}/_S$ will be all Noetherian S -schemes of finite dimension. See [CD12, 2.0, footnote 35]

Remark 3.5. We recall also that in [Robdf, Chapter 9] it is shown that the theory of non-commutative motives also admits relative versions encoded by an ∞ -functor

$$\mathrm{SHnc}^{\otimes} : \mathrm{Sch}_{/S}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Pr}_{\mathrm{Stb}}^{\mathrm{L}})$$

together with a natural transformation

$$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{Perf}} : \mathrm{SH}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathrm{SHnc}^{\otimes}$$

However, it is not known if the necessary localization and proper base change conditions to endow $\mathrm{SHnc}^{\otimes}$ with a system of six operations hold.

Another important example is that of BU -modules. For each $X \in \mathrm{Sch}_{/S}$ there exists a commutative algebra object $\mathrm{BU}_X \in \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{SH}_X)$ representing a relative version of homotopy invariant algebraic K-theory. This commutative algebra structure can also be obtained from a relative version of the results in [Rob15] which the reader can consult in [Robdf, Chapter 9]. For this, it is crucial that the relative versions of BU are compatible under pullbacks. See [Cis13, 3.8]. This allows us to construct an ∞ -functor

$$\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{BU}}(\mathrm{SH})^{\otimes} : \mathrm{Sch}_{/S}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Pr}_{\mathrm{Stb}}^{\mathrm{L}})$$

together with a natural transformation

$$- \otimes \mathrm{BU} : \mathrm{SH}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{BU}}(\mathrm{SH})^{\otimes}$$

which for each $X \in \mathrm{Sch}_{/S}$ admits a conservative right adjoint $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{BU}_X}(\mathrm{SH}_X) \rightarrow \mathrm{SH}_X$ that forgets the module structure. As explained in [CD12, 13.3.3] (see also the discussion in [Robdf, pg 260, 9.4.38, 9.4.39]), the conservativity of the forgetful functor and the fact it commutes with the functorialities $(-)_*$, $(-)^*$ and $(-)_{\sharp}$, and verifies the projections formulas, are enough to deduce the conditions to upgrade $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{BU}}(\mathrm{SH})^{\otimes}$ with a system of six operations (see Prop. A.2) and to make the natural transformation $- \otimes \mathrm{BU}$ compatible with the operations in the sense of Prop. A.4 and the forgetful functor $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{BU}} \rightarrow \mathrm{SH}$ compatible with all the operations (meaning, the natural transformations at the end of A.4 are natural isomorphisms. See [CD12, Section 7.2]).

Remark 3.6. Notice that the algebraic Bott isomorphism of the Remark 3.1 forces the functorialities $(-)_{\sharp}$ and $(-)_{!}$ to be the same for smooth maps (see the equation (A.0.4) in the Appendix.)

To conclude this preliminary section, we must also remark that if $\mathrm{R}^{\otimes} : \mathrm{SH}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}^{\otimes}$ is a motivic realization, being monoidal, it sends BU to an algebra object $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{BU})$ and therefore, produces a new realization

$$\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{BU}}(\mathrm{SH})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{BU})}(\mathrm{D})^{\otimes}$$

Throughout the next sections we will analyse several realizations of dg-categories, all obtained by composition with $\mathcal{M}_S^{\vee, \otimes}$

$$\mathbf{dgc}at_S^{\mathrm{idem}, \otimes} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{BU}_S}(\mathrm{SH}_S)^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{BU}_S)}(\mathrm{D}_S)^{\otimes}$$

Remark 3.7. Following the Remark 3.3 every realization of dg-categories sends exact sequences to exact sequences.

Example 3.8. In the case when the base ring is $\mathbb{C}$ we have a *Betti realization* $\mathrm{SH}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}^{\otimes}$. In [Bla15, Section 4.6] it is shown that the realization of $\mathrm{BU}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the spectrum representing topological K -theory $\mathrm{BU}^{\mathrm{top}}$. The realization $\mathbf{dgc}at_S^{\mathrm{idem}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{BU}_S}(\mathrm{SH}_S) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{BU}^{\mathrm{top}}}(\mathrm{Sp})$ recovers what in [Bla15] is called the *topological K -theory* of dg-categories.

3.4. The BU -motives of Perf , Coh^b and Sing .

3.4.1. Let

$$\begin{array}{c} X \\ \downarrow p \\ S \end{array} \tag{3.4.1}$$

be any S -scheme with X quasi-compact quasi-separated. Then $\mathrm{Perf}(X)$ is an object in $\mathbf{dgc}at_S^{\otimes}$ and through the mechanism explained in section 3.2 produces a BU_S -module $\mathcal{M}_S^{\vee}(\mathrm{Perf}(X))$. At the same time, BU_X lives as an object SH_X and as one of the operations described in the previous section, we can consider its direct image $p_*(\mathrm{BU}_X) \in \mathrm{BU}_S$ -modules.

Proposition 3.9. *Assume $p : X \rightarrow S$ as in (3.4.1). Then the two objects $\mathcal{M}_S^{\vee}(\mathrm{Perf}(X))$ and $p_*(\mathrm{BU}_X)$ are canonically equivalent as BU_S -modules.*

Proof. The first ingredient is the fact that by [Rob15, Theorem 1.8] and its extension to general basis in [Robdf, Cor. 9.3.4], we have canonical equivalences

$$p_*(\mathrm{BU}_X) \simeq p_*(\mathcal{M}_X(1_X^{\mathrm{nc}}))$$

which, as by formal adjunction reasons $\mathcal{M}$ and $(-)_*$ are compatible, is canonically equivalent to $\mathcal{M}_S(p_*(1_X^{\mathrm{nc}}))$ where now p_* denotes the functoriality in $\mathrm{SH}_{\mathrm{nc}}$. By the analysis in section 3.2, we are reduced to show that $p_*(1_X^{\mathrm{nc}})$ is equivalent to the object in $\mathrm{SH}_{\mathrm{nc}S}$ given by $\mu(\mathrm{Perf}(X))$. Unwinding the adjunctions, the first corresponds to the ∞ -functor sending an S -dg-category of finite type T to the homotopy K -theory spectrum $\mathrm{KH}_X(p^*(T))$. The second corresponds to the ∞ -functor sending a dg-category of finite type T to the spectrum $\mathrm{KH}_S(T \otimes_S \mathrm{Perf}(X))$. In the case where $X = \mathrm{Spec} B$ is an affine scheme over $S = \mathrm{Spec} A$, the equivalence between the two follows from the same arguments as in [Robdf, Prop. 10.1.4], Notice that the arguments in [Robdf, Prop.

10.1.4] are written in the context of a closed immersion but in fact work in the general case.

We deduce the general case from the affine case using the Zariski descent property for $X \mapsto \mathrm{SHnc}_X$ of [Robdf, 9.21]. If $\{\phi_i : U_i \hookrightarrow X\}_{i \in I}$ is a Zariski cover of X by affine open immersions then we have from the Zariski descent for homotopy K-theory that

$$\mathrm{colim}_i (\phi_i)_\# \circ (\phi_i)^* 1_X^{\mathrm{nc}} \simeq 1_X^{\mathrm{nc}}$$

in SHnc_X . Equivalently, passing to right adjoints, we also have

$$1_X^{\mathrm{nc}} \simeq \lim_i (\phi_i)_* \circ (\phi_i)^* 1_X^{\mathrm{nc}}$$

so that as p_* is a right adjoint, gives

$$p_*(1_X^{\mathrm{nc}}) \simeq \lim_i (p \circ \phi_i)_* 1_{U_i}^{\mathrm{nc}}$$

which by the result in the affine case is equivalent to

$$p_*(1_X^{\mathrm{nc}}) \simeq \lim_i \mu(\mathrm{Perf}(U_i))$$

Finally, by the Zariski descent for KH of dg-categories (in fact it has non-commutative Nisnevich descent [Rob15, 4.16, 4.18]) and the fact that Zariski squares of dg-categories with compact generators - as it is the case of $\mathrm{Perf}(X)$ for X quasi-compact and quasi-separated by [BvdB03, 3.1.7] - are stable under tensor products (see the proof of [Rob15, Prop 3.19, Rem 3.20]) this is canonically equivalent to $\mu(\mathrm{Perf}(X))$. $\square$

Remark 3.10. As a consequence of the six operations for BU-modules, if p is a smooth map, then $p_* \mathrm{BU}_X$ is also equivalent to $\mathrm{BU}_S^X := \mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{SH}_S}(p_\# 1_X, \mathrm{BU}_S)$ (use the projection formula).

Remark 3.11. $\mathrm{Perf}(X)$ carries a symmetric monoidal structure given by the tensor product of perfect complexes. This can be understood as a commutative algebra object $\mathrm{Perf}(X)^\otimes \in \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathbf{dgc}^{\mathrm{idem}}_S)$. As $\mathcal{M}_S^\vee$ is lax monoidal, $\mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\mathrm{Perf})$ is an object in $\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{BU}_S}(\mathrm{SH}_S))$.

3.4.2. We now extend the context of the subsection 3.4.1 by considering

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U := X - Z & \xhookrightarrow{j} & X \xleftarrow{i} Z \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & S \end{array} \quad (3.4.2)$$

with X a regular scheme, quasi-compact quasi-separated, i a closed immersion and j its open complementary. It follows also from the Prop. 3.9 that $(p \circ j)_* \mathrm{BU}_U$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\mathrm{Perf}(U))$ where $\mathrm{Perf}(U)$ is seen as an S-dg-category via the composition $p \circ j$. In

the same way we have that $(p \circ i)_* \mathrm{BU}_Z \simeq \mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\mathrm{Perf}(Z))$. Moreover, the pullback along j produces a map of BU_S -modules $\mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\mathrm{Perf}(X)) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\mathrm{Perf}(U))$ which via the equivalence of the Prop. 3.9 is identified with the map induced by the unit of the adjunction

$$p_*(\mathrm{BU}_X) \rightarrow p_* j_* j^* \mathrm{BU}_X \quad (3.4.3)$$

This morphism fits in a exact sequence given by the localization property of SH [MV99] (see A.2 and A.3 in the appendix)

$$p_* i_* i^! \mathrm{BU}_X \rightarrow p_* \mathrm{BU}_X \rightarrow p_* j_* j^* \mathrm{BU}_X \quad (3.4.4)$$

in SH_S .

Proposition 3.12. *Assume the conditions in the context (3.4.2). Then $p_* i_* i^! \mathrm{BU}_X$ is given by the BU_S -motive of the S -dg-category $\mathrm{Coh}^b(Z)$ of bounded coherent complexes on Z . Here the structure of S -dg-category is induced via the composition $p \circ i$.*

Proof. As X is assumed to be regular, and U is open, U is also regular and we have $\mathrm{Perf}(X) = \mathrm{Coh}^b(X)$ and $\mathrm{Perf}(U) = \mathrm{Coh}^b(U)$. In this context $\mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\mathrm{Coh}^b(X))$ is given by the ∞ -functor sending a smooth S -scheme Y to the spectrum $\mathrm{KH}(\mathrm{Perf}(Y) \otimes_S \mathrm{Coh}^b(X))$ and $\mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\mathrm{Coh}^b(U))(Y)$ is given by $\mathrm{KH}(\mathrm{Perf}(Y) \otimes_S \mathrm{Coh}^b(U))$. Let now X be an S -scheme of finite type and let Y be smooth over S . We have an equivalence of S -dg-categories

$$\mathrm{Coh}^b(X) \otimes_S \mathrm{Perf}(Y) \simeq \mathrm{Coh}^b(X \times_S Y) \quad (3.4.5)$$

This is [Pre12, Prop. B.4.1] together with the fact that as Y is smooth over S and S is assumed to be regular, Y is regular and therefore $\mathrm{Perf}(Y) = \mathrm{Coh}^b(Y)$. The equivalence (3.4.5) holds for X and also for both U and Z ¹⁵. With this identification, $\mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\mathrm{Coh}^b(X))$ can now be identified with the ∞ -functor $Y \mapsto \mathrm{KH}(\mathrm{Coh}^b(X \times_S Y))$ which is equivalent to the G-theory spectrum of $X \times_S Y$ by $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariance of G-theory. As the same holds for U , the map 3.4.3 can be identified with the G-theory pullback along j

$$\mathrm{G}(X \times_S -) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}(U \times_S -)$$

whose fiber is well-known from Quillen's localization theorem for G-theory [Qui73] to be the homotopy invariant K-theory of the dg-category of bounded coherent sheaves in Z , $\mathrm{Coh}^b(Z \times_S Y)$ which again by the lemma is equivalent to $\mathrm{Perf}(Y) \otimes_S \mathrm{Coh}^b(Z)$ from which the propositions follows. $\square$

Finally, following the Remark 3.3, the exact sequence of S -dg-categories

$$\mathrm{Perf}(Z) \rightarrow \mathrm{Coh}^b(Z) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sing}(Z)$$

¹⁵Notice that this works without any hypothesis on the regularity of Z

creates a cofiber-fiber sequence of BU_S -modules

$$\mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\mathrm{Perf}(Z)) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\mathrm{Coh}^b(Z)) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\mathrm{Sing}(Z))$$

which, thanks to Propositions 3.9 and 3.12, can now be identified with

$$p_*i_*\mathrm{BU}_Z \rightarrow p_*i_*i^!\mathrm{BU}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\mathrm{Sing}(Z)) \quad (3.4.6)$$

Remark 3.13. In particular, when Z is itself regular we recover the *purity isomorphism* in algebraic K-theory

$$p_*i_*\mathrm{BU}_Z \simeq p_*i_*i^!\mathrm{BU}_X$$

More generally, the motive $\mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\mathrm{Sing}(Z))$ measures the obstruction to purity.

Remark 3.14. Following Remark 3.11, $\mathrm{Perf}(Z)$ defines an object $\mathrm{Perf}(Z)^\otimes \in \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathbf{dgc}at_S^{\mathrm{idem}})$ and $\mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\mathrm{Perf}(Z))$ an object in $\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{BU}_S}(\mathrm{SH}_S))$. Now, the inclusion $\mathrm{Perf}(Z) \subseteq \mathrm{Coh}^b(Z)$ make $\mathrm{Coh}^b(Z)$ an object in $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{Perf}(Z)^\otimes}(\mathbf{dgc}at_S^{\mathrm{idem}, \otimes})$ as the tensor product of coherent by perfect is coherent. In this case $\mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\mathrm{Coh}^b(Z))$ defines an object in $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\mathrm{Perf}(Z))}(\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{BU}_S}(\mathrm{SH}_S))$. Moreover, as the inclusion $\mathrm{Perf}(Z) \subseteq \mathrm{Coh}^b(Z)$ is a map of $\mathrm{Perf}(Z)$ -modules, the induced map $\mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\mathrm{Perf}(Z)) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\mathrm{Coh}^b(Z))$ is defined in $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\mathrm{Perf}(Z))}(\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{BU}_S}(\mathrm{SH}_S))$. By adjunction, this is the same as a map $1_S \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\mathrm{Coh}^b(Z))$ in SH_S which corresponds to an element u in the Grothendieck group $\mathrm{KH}_0(\mathrm{Coh}^b(Z))$.

Combining (3.4.4) and (3.4.6) we get two cofiber-fiber sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p_*i_*\mathrm{BU}_Z & \xrightarrow{u} & p_*i_*i^!\mathrm{BU}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\mathrm{Sing}(Z)) \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & p_*\mathrm{BU}_X \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & p_*j_*j^*\mathrm{BU}_X \end{array} \quad (3.4.7)$$

3.4.3. We now consider X as in subsection 3.4.1 together with a function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^1$. In this case we get derived fiber products

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} U & \xrightarrow{j} & X & \xleftarrow{i} & X_0 \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow f & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{G}_{m,S} & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{A}_S^1 & \xleftarrow{i_0} & S \end{array} \quad (3.4.8)$$

where i_0 is the zero section, map i is an *lci* closed immersion and j is its open complementary. The classical truncation of this diagram brings us to the context of subsection 3.4.2 with $Z := t(X_0)$ the classical underived zero locus of f .

The following result tells us that the BU -motive of Coh^b is invariant under derived thickenings:

Proposition 3.15. *Let $\tilde{Z}$ be a derived scheme over S with classical underlying scheme Z and canonical inclusion $Z \hookrightarrow \tilde{Z}$. Then the push forward along the inclusion*

$$\mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\mathrm{Coh}^b(Z)) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\mathrm{Coh}^b(\tilde{Z}))$$

is an equivalence of BU_S -modules.

Proof. Analyzing the definitions, we are reduced to show that for any smooth scheme over S , $Y \rightarrow S$, the induced map of K-theory spectra

$$\mathrm{KH}(\mathrm{Perf}(Y) \otimes_S \mathrm{Coh}^b(Z)) \rightarrow \mathrm{KH}(\mathrm{Perf}(Y) \otimes_S \mathrm{Coh}^b(\tilde{Z}))$$

is an equivalence. But again, thanks to [Pre12, Prop. B.41] we have the formula (3.4.5) so that it is enough to show that the map

$$\mathrm{KH}(\mathrm{Coh}^b(Y \times_S Z)) \rightarrow \mathrm{KH}(\mathrm{Coh}^b(Y \times_S \tilde{Z}))$$

is an equivalence. Here we mean the derived fiber product, which as Y is flat over S , equals the usual fiber product. But now this equivalence follows from the theorem of the heart: for a any derived scheme V with truncation $t(V)$, $\mathrm{Coh}^b(t(V))$ and $\mathrm{Coh}^b(V)$ both carry t -structures with the same heart [Lur11, 2.3.20], so that by [Bar12], their K-theory spectra are equivalent via pushforward.

□

In this case, for the derived scheme X_0 , and following the Remark 3.3, the exact sequence of S -dg-categories

$$\mathrm{Perf}(X_0) \rightarrow \mathrm{Coh}^b(X_0) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sing}(X_0)$$

creates a cofiber-fiber sequence of BU_S -modules

$$\mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\mathrm{Perf}(X_0)) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\mathrm{Coh}^b(X_0)) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\mathrm{Sing}(X_0)) \quad (3.4.9)$$

where thanks to the Prop. 3.15 the middle term is identified with $p_* i_* i^! \mathrm{BU}_X$.

Using the fact that the closed immersion $X_0 \rightarrow X$ is lci, we know that the push-forward $i_* : \text{Perf}(X_0) \rightarrow \text{Perf}(X)$ preserves perfect complexes [Toe12] and provides a map $\mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\text{Perf}(X_0)) \rightarrow p_*\text{BU}_X$ ¹⁶ that fits in a commutative 2-simplex

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\text{Perf}(X_0)) & \longrightarrow & p_*i_*i^!\text{BU}_X \\ & \searrow i_* & \downarrow \\ & & p_*\text{BU}_X \end{array} \quad (3.4.10)$$

Lemma 3.16. *The map i_* is null-homotopic.*

Proof. The base-change formula for the derived fiber product

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_0 & \xrightarrow{i} & X \\ f_0 \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow f \\ S & \xrightarrow{i_0} & \mathbf{A}_S^1 \end{array} \quad (3.4.11)$$

gives us a natural equivalence

$$f^* \circ (i_0)_* \simeq i_* \circ (f_0)^* \quad (3.4.12)$$

We also know that $(i_0)_*$ preserves perfect complexes, i_0 being lci. We see that it induces the zero map in K -theory: indeed, it is enough to see that the image of A , as an element of $K(S)$, in $K(\mathbf{A}_S^1)$ is zero. But in fact, $(i_0)_*$ corresponds to the forgetful functor along the evaluation at zero $ev_0 : A[t] \rightarrow A$ and therefore the image of A is just A again seen as a $A[t]$ -module. But we know that in $\text{Perf}(A[t])$, A fits in a cofiber sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A[t] & \xrightarrow{\cdot t} & A[t] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A \end{array}$$

so that by definition it becomes zero in $K_0(A[t])$. By the description of perfect complexes as retracts of homotopy finite cell objects, the map $(i_0)_* : K(\text{Perf}(A)) \rightarrow K(\text{Perf}(A[t]))$ is zero.

The base change formula (3.4.12) now tells us that $i_*(\mathcal{O}_{X_0})$ is zero in $K(X)$ so that by definition of perfect complexes and by functoriality, the map $K(X_0) \rightarrow K(X)$ is zero. To show that the map i_* is null-homotopic in BU_S -modules, it is enough now to base change the diagram along any Y smooth affine over S and apply the same arguments. $\square$

¹⁶Thanks to the projection formula this is a map of $p_*\text{BU}_X$ -modules.

In this case, the octahedral property for stable ∞ -categories [Lur16, Thm 1.1.2.15 (TR4)] gives us a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\mathrm{Perf}(X_0)) & \longrightarrow & p_* i_* i^! \mathrm{BU}_X & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\mathrm{Sing}(X_0)) \\
 & \searrow 0 & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & p_* \mathrm{BU}_X & \searrow & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & p_* j_* j^* \mathrm{BU}_X & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\mathrm{Perf}(X_0))[1] \oplus p_* \mathrm{BU}_X
 \end{array} \tag{3.4.13}$$

with

$$\mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\mathrm{Sing}(X_0)) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\mathrm{Perf}(X_0))[1] \oplus p_* \mathrm{BU}_X \rightarrow p_* j_* j^* \mathrm{BU}_X \tag{3.4.14}$$

a cofiber-fiber sequence of BU_S -modules.

3.5. The BU -motives of 2-periodic complexes. As a first application of the cofiber-fiber sequence (3.4.13) we compute the motivic BU -module of the dg-category of 2-periodic complexes. In this section we assume that S is regular.

Proposition 3.17. *There is a canonical equivalence of BU_S -modules*

$$\mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\mathrm{Sing}(S, 0)) \simeq \mathrm{BU}_S \oplus \mathrm{BU}_S[1] \tag{3.5.1}$$

In particular, via the lax monoidal structure of Sing , for every LG-pair (X, f) the motive $\mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\mathrm{Sing}(X, f))$ is a $\mathrm{BU}_S \oplus \mathrm{BU}_S[1]$ -module.

The proof of this result uses our Orlov comparison of Section 2. Namely, as explained by Prop. 2.20, $\mathrm{Sing}(S, 0)$ is equivalent to $\mathrm{Perf}(A[u, u^{-1}])$ and obtained as the cofiber sequence in $\mathbf{dgc}at_A^{\mathrm{idem}}$

$$\mathrm{Perf}(A[\epsilon]) \subseteq \mathrm{Coh}^b(A[\epsilon]) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sing}(S, 0) \tag{3.5.2}$$

In this case, as U is empty, the cofiber-fiber sequence of (3.4.13) gives an equivalence

$$\mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\mathrm{Sing}(S, 0)) \simeq \mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\mathrm{Perf}(A[\epsilon]))[1] \oplus \mathrm{BU}_S \tag{3.5.3}$$

and we are left to show that $\mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\mathrm{Perf}(A[\epsilon]))$ is equivalent to BU_S . But this follows from the following remark applied to the graded algebra $A[\epsilon]$.

Remark 3.18. Let $R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_i$ be a graded algebra over A concentrated in non-negative degrees. Then the canonical inclusion and projection

$$R_0 \rightarrow R \quad R \rightarrow R_0 \quad (3.5.4)$$

seen as maps of A -dg-categories with single objects, are $\mathbb{A}_A^1$ -homotopy inverse. Indeed, the composition $R_0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow R_0$ is the identity. For the other composition notice that by definition the grading in R is the data of a map of A -modules

$$R \rightarrow R \otimes_A A[t]$$

sending an element $r \in R$ of degree i to $r \otimes t^i$. This map provides the required $\mathbb{A}_A^1$ -homotopy between the composition and the identity via the respective evaluations at 0 and 1.

3.6. Rational Coefficients and Tate-2-periodicity. $\mathrm{SH}^\otimes$ carries a canonical action of the ∞ -category of spectra $\mathrm{Sp}^\otimes$ seen as a constant system of monoidal categories indexed by Sch/S . More precisely, we have a natural transformation

$$\mathrm{Sp}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathrm{SH}^\otimes$$

of ∞ -functors $\mathrm{Sch}/S^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Pr}_{\mathrm{Stb}}^L)$. This provides for each $\Lambda \in \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Sp})$, a family of commutative algebra objects $\Lambda_X \in \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{SH}_X)$ indexed by $X \in \mathrm{Sch}/S$ and stable under pullbacks. By the same discussion as for BU -modules above, we have a system of categories $\mathrm{Mod}_\Lambda(\mathrm{SH})^\otimes$ together with a realization map

$$- \otimes \Lambda : \mathrm{SH}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_\Lambda(\mathrm{SH})^\otimes \quad (3.6.1)$$

Remark 3.19. In this situation, for each $X \in \mathrm{Sch}/S$, the category $\mathrm{Mod}_{\Lambda_X}(\mathrm{SH}_X)$ can be identified with the tensor product in $\mathrm{Pr}_{\mathrm{Stb}}^L$

$$\mathrm{SH}_X \otimes_{\mathrm{Sp}} \mathrm{Mod}_\Lambda(\mathrm{Sp})$$

This follows from [Lur16, Thm. 4.8.4.6 and Section 4.5.1].

Remark 3.20. The natural transformation of (3.6.1) is the universal Λ -linear realization. Indeed, recall that, by definition, Λ -linear stable ∞ -categories are objects in $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{Mod}_\Lambda(\mathrm{Sp})^\otimes}(\mathrm{Pr}_{\mathrm{Stb}}^L)$. In particular, if $R : \mathrm{SH}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathrm{D}^\otimes$ is a realization where $\mathrm{D}^\otimes$ takes values in Λ -linear categories, the universal property of base change [Lur16, 4.5.3.1] tells us that R factors in a unique way by a Λ -linear realization $R : \mathrm{SH}^\otimes \otimes_{\mathrm{Sp}} \mathrm{Mod}_\Lambda(\mathrm{Sp})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathrm{D}^\otimes$.

Let $\Lambda = \mathbb{H}\mathbb{Q}$ be the Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum representing rational singular cohomology¹⁷. It has the structure of algebra-object in $\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Sp})$ given by the cup product in cohomology. This is an idempotent ring-object, in the sense that the multiplication map $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathbb{H}\mathbb{Q} \simeq \mathbb{H}\mathbb{Q}$ is an equivalence (the localization at $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{Q}$ is smashing). Therefore the universal $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear realization

$$- \otimes \mathbb{Q} : \mathrm{SH}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{H}\mathbb{Q}}(\mathrm{SH})^{\otimes}$$

identifies $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{H}\mathbb{Q}}(\mathrm{SH})$ with the full subcategory $\mathrm{SH}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ of SH spanned by non torsion objects.

Moreover, whenever S is of finite Krull dimension, this localization is strongly compatible with all the six operations in the sense that the natural transformations (A.0.8) are natural isomorphisms. For this, one can use the arguments in the proof of [Ayo14, A.14].

Following the discussion in section 3.3, rationalization carries over to BU -modules

$$- \otimes \mathbb{Q} : \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{BU}}(\mathrm{SH})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{BU}_{\mathbb{Q}}}(\mathrm{SH}_{\mathbb{Q}})^{\otimes} \simeq \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{BU}_{\mathbb{Q}}}(\mathrm{SH})^{\otimes} \quad (3.6.2)$$

where $\mathrm{BU}_{\mathbb{Q}} := \mathrm{BU}_S \otimes \mathbb{H}\mathbb{Q}$ and the last equivalence follows from [Lur16, 3.4.1.9].

Thanks to the strong compatibility with the six operations, all the constructions performed in the previous sections at the level of BU_S -modules can now be repeated after tensoring with the rationals, without changing the results. This follows because the realization at the level of modules is determined by the underlying realization via the forgetful functors.

The main reason why we are interested in passing to rational coefficients is the following result:

Proposition 3.21. *Let X be any scheme. Then the morphism $u : 1_S(1)[2] \rightarrow \mathrm{BU}_X \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ of (3.1.2) induces an equivalence of commutative algebra objects*

$$\mathrm{MB}_X(\beta) := \mathrm{Free}(\mathrm{MB}_X(1)[2])[(u^{-1})] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{BU}_{X,\mathbb{Q}}$$

where MB_X is the commutative algebra-object representing Beilinson's motivic cohomology of [CD12, Def. 14.1.2]¹⁸. In particular, if X is a geometrically unibranch excellent scheme

¹⁷This is equivalent to the rational sphere spectrum.

¹⁸The structure of commutative algebra object of MB in the symmetric monoidal ∞ -category SH follows from the equivalences [CD12, (5.3.35.2)], the definition [CD12, 15.2.1] and [CD12, 14.2.9]. The combination of these results characterizes MB -modules as a monoidal reflexive localization of $\mathrm{SH}_{\mathbb{Q}}$, so that as explained by [CD12, 14.2.2], MB is the image of the monoidal unit in $\mathrm{SH}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ under a monoidal localization functor and a lax monoidal inclusion, so, it acquires a natural structure of commutative algebra object

then we can replace Beilinson's motivic cohomology by the spectrum representing rational motivic cohomology MQ_X .

Proof. This is already proven in [CD12, 14.2.17, 16.1.7] using the results of Riou in [Rio10] on the γ -filtration. The only issue is to construct this as an equivalence of E_∞ -algebras in motives. But this follows just by describing the image of u and showing it is invertible. But again this is verified at the classical level. $\square$

Remark 3.22. In practice the hypothesis that the schemes are excellent and geometrically unibranch will be verified in the cases that interests us, namely, when S is a complete Henselian trait and X is a regular scheme of finite type over S . This follows because complete local rings are excellent [Gro65, Scholie 7.8.3 page 214] and S being a discrete valuation ring it is regular, so it is normal (in fact in dimension 1 the two are equivalent) and therefore by a direct checking of the definitions, geometrically unibranch. See [CD12, Thm 8.3.30]. Moreover, following [Gro65, Prop. 7.8.6 page 217] if $X \rightarrow S$ is a scheme of finite type over S with S excellent then X is excellent. Again if X is regular, it is normal [Ser65, Cor 3, IV-39] and therefore it is geometrically unibranch.

Following from [CD12, 14.1.6] one obtains for any X a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathrm{BU}_{X,\mathbb{Q}} \otimes \mathrm{MB}_X \simeq \mathrm{BU}_{X,\mathbb{Q}}$$

and the realization (3.6.2) is equivalent to

$$\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{BU}}(\mathrm{SH}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{BU}_{\mathbb{Q}}}(\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{MB}}(\mathrm{SH})) \quad (3.6.3)$$

which as a consequence is strongly compatible with all the six operations.

3.7. ℓ -adic realization. In this section we discuss the ℓ -adic realization of BU -modules and dg-categories. Let S be a base scheme and ℓ be a prime invertible in S . We describe the construction of a monoidal realization functor

$$\mathrm{R}^\ell : \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{MB}}(\mathrm{SH})^\otimes \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(-)^\otimes \quad (3.7.1)$$

where for each $X \in \mathrm{Sch}_S$, $\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(X)$ denotes the ∞ -category of Ind-constructible ℓ -adic sheaves of [LG14, 4.3.26] with $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -coefficients. Recall that is obtained as the full subcategory of $\mathrm{Sh}_\ell(X)$ spanned by those objects F such that the natural morphism $F \mapsto F[\ell^{-1}]$ is an equivalence. Here $\mathrm{Sh}_\ell(X)$ is by definition the Ind-completion of the homotopy limit

$$\lim_{n \geq 0} \mathrm{Sh}^c(X_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n) \quad (3.7.2)$$

where for each $n \geq 0$, $\mathrm{Sh}^c(X_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n)$ denotes the full subcategory of étale sheaves with $\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n$ -torsion coefficients spanned by constructible sheaves in the sense of [LG14, 4.2.2].

Remark 3.23. The discussion in [LG14, Section 4] is written for quasi-projective schemes over a field as it requires the étale topos of X to be of finite cohomological dimension [LG14, Lemma 4.1.3]. However, due to results of Gabber [CD16, Thm 1.1.5] whenever we work with S -schemes of finite type with S strictly local and noetherian, the finiteness of the étale topos is guaranteed. This is the case in this paper.

Remark 3.24. Following [LG14, 4.3.15], the limit (3.7.2) can be taken inside the theory of symmetric monoidal small idempotent complete stable and $\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}$ -linear ∞ -categories and $\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}}(X)$ corresponds to inverting ℓ after Ind-completion and working in $\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}}}(\mathrm{Pr}^{\mathrm{L}}))$.

Remark 3.25. The construction of an ∞ -functor $X \mapsto \mathrm{Sh}_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}}(X)^{\otimes}$ can be obtained using the arguments of [Robdf, Chapter 9].

The monoidal realization (3.7.1) could be obtained using the universal property of SH proved in [Rob15]. However, we will need to show that it is strongly compatible with all the six operations and with the classical notion of Tate twists. For this purpose we describe an alternative construction of (3.7.1) using results of [CD16]. Once (3.7.1) is available and strongly compatible with all operations, we can then pass to $\mathrm{BU}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -modules and deduce that the composition

$$\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{BU}}(\mathrm{SH}) \xrightarrow{(3.6.3)} \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{BU}_{\mathbb{Q}}}(\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{MB}}(\mathrm{SH})) \xrightarrow{(3.7.1)} \mathrm{Mod}_{R^{\ell}(\mathrm{BU}_{\mathbb{Q}})}(\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}}(-)) \quad (3.7.3)$$

is again compatible with all the six operations and twists.

Let us now review the construction of (3.7.1). This can be done as in [CD16] using the theory of h -motives. Recall from [Voe96, Def 3.1.2] that the h -topology on Noetherian schemes is the topology whose covers are the universal topological epimorphisms. It is the minimal topology generated by open coverings and proper surjective maps (see for the case of excellent schemes [Voe96, Def 3.1.2]). In [CD16] the authors constructed for any noetherian scheme X and any ring R a theory of h -motives, $\mathrm{DM}_h(X, R)$. See [CD16, Section 5.1]. The constructions in loc.cit can be formulated in the language of higher categories, using the arguments and steps of [Rob15] and an ∞ -functor

$$\mathrm{DM}_h(-, R)^{\otimes} : \mathrm{Sch}_{/\mathbb{S}}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Pr}^{\mathrm{L}})$$

can be provided as in [Robdf, Chapter 9]. Following [CD16, 5.6.2] and Prop. A.2 this ∞ -functor satisfies all the formalism of the six operations.

We now recall how to relate h -motives both to the r.h.s and l.h.s of (3.7.1). Let R be the localization of $\mathbb{Z}$ at the prime ℓ .

To understand the r.h.s of (3.7.1) we use a form of rigidity theorem given by [CD16, Thm 5.5.3 and Thm 4.5.2]: for any Noetherian scheme X , ℓ invertible in $\mathcal{O}_X$ and for each $n \geq 0$, we have a monoidal equivalence

$$\mathrm{DM}_h(X, R/\ell^n) \simeq \mathrm{Sh}(X_{\acute{e}t}, R/\ell^n) \quad (3.7.4)$$

with the last being the standard ∞ -category of ℓ^n -torsion étale sheaves on X . Moreover, following [CD16, Thm 6.3.11], for S -schemes of finite dimension, (3.7.4) restricts to an equivalence

$$\mathrm{DM}_{h,lc}(X, R/\ell^n) \simeq \mathrm{Sh}^c((X)_{\acute{e}t}, R/\ell^n) \quad (3.7.5)$$

where on the r.h.s we have the full subcategory of locally constructible objects of [CD16, Def. 6.3.1]. The construction $X \mapsto \mathrm{DM}_{h,lc}(X, R/\ell^n)$ can also be enhanced as part of an ∞ -functor and by [CD16, 6.3.15] it is stable under the six operations when restricted to quasi-excellent noetherian schemes of finite dimension. The same is true for the l.h.s by same arguments as in [LG14, 4.2.15, 4.2.5].

Remark 3.26. Via (3.7.4) motivic Tate twists are sent to the usual ℓ -adic twists given by the roots of unity. This is a consequence of the Kummer exact sequence as explained in [CD16, Section 3.2].

As a result, the equivalences (3.7.5) assemble to an equivalence of ∞ -functors, strongly compatible with all the six operations and twists

$$\mathrm{DM}_{h,lc}(-, R/\ell^n) \simeq \mathrm{Sh}^c((-)_{\acute{e}t}, R/\ell^n) \quad (3.7.6)$$

whenever ℓ is invertible in S

Remark 3.27. As discussed in the Remark 3.23, we will be interested in schemes X of finite type over a strictly local henselian trait S . In this case, by Gabber's result [CD16, 1.1.5] and [CD16, Prop 6.3.10] the notion of locally constructible objects in h-motives coincides with the notion of constructible of [CD16, 5.1.3] which also coincides with the notion of compact object [CD16, Thm 5.2.4].

As a conclusion to this discussion, (3.7.6) provides an equivalence

$$\lim_{n \geq 0} \mathrm{DM}_{h,lc}(-, R/\ell^n) \simeq \mathrm{Sh}_\ell(-) \quad (3.7.7)$$

Now, the l.h.s of (3.7.1) is related to the theory of h-motives via the combination of [CD16, Thm 5.2.2] and [CD12, 14.2.9]: when $R = \mathbb{Q}$ we have an equivalence of ∞ -functors on Sch_S ¹⁹

¹⁹Here we can take the category of all Noetherian finite dimensional schemes over S

$$\mathrm{DM}_h(-, \mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{MB}}(\mathrm{SH}) \quad (3.7.8)$$

strongly compatible with the six operations. We recall that for each scheme X the ∞ -category SH_X is compactly generated and so is $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{MB}_X}(\mathrm{SH}_X)$. See [Robdf, Section 4.4. and Prop.3.8.3]. Thanks to [CD16, Prop. 6.3.3 and Thm 5.2.4], the equivalence (3.7.8) identifies the compact objects of $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{MB}_X}(\mathrm{SH}_X)$ with the subcategory of constructible objects $\mathrm{DM}_{h,c}(-, \mathbb{Q})$ as defined in [CD16, 5.1.3]. By [CD16, 6.2.14] it is stable under all the six operations.

Having these characterizations of both the r.h.s and l.h.s of (3.7.1) to conclude we need to exhibit a natural transformation of ∞ -functors with values in small stable idempotent complete $R[\ell^{-1}] \simeq \mathbb{Q}$ -linear ∞ -categories

$$\mathrm{DM}_{h,c}(-, \mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathrm{DM}_{h,c}(-, R) \otimes_R R[\ell^{-1}] \rightarrow (\lim_{n \geq 0} \mathrm{DM}_{h,lc}(-, R/\ell^n)) \otimes_R R[\ell^{-1}]$$

For that purpose we use the results of [CD16] that explain the ℓ -adic realization functor (3.7.1) as an ℓ -adic completion of h -motives. The system of base changes along the maps of rings $R \rightarrow R/\ell^n$ produces natural transformations $\mathrm{DM}_h(-, R) \rightarrow \mathrm{DM}_h(-, R/\ell^n)$ and by the standard procedure one can construct the data of a cone over the diagram indexed by $n \geq 0$ and obtain a natural transformation between the ∞ -functors with values in presentable stable R -linear ∞ -categories

$$\mathrm{DM}_h(-, R) \rightarrow \lim_{n \geq 0} \mathrm{DM}_h(-, R/\ell^n) \quad (3.7.9)$$

It follows from the same arguments as in [LG14, 4.3.9] that this homotopy limit identifies with the construction $\mathrm{DM}_h(-, \widehat{R}_\ell)$ of [CD16, Def 7.2.1] and from [CD16, Thm 7.2.11] that it commutes with all the six operations. Moreover, by [CD16, 7.2.16] it restricts to a natural transformation between locally constructible objects

$$\mathrm{DM}_{h,lc}(-, R) \rightarrow \lim_{n \geq 0} \mathrm{DM}_{h,lc}(-, R/\ell^n) \quad (3.7.10)$$

again compatible with all operations. Following the discussion in [CD16, Section 7.2.18] and [CD16, Prop. 7.2.19], using Gabber's theorem [CD16, 1.1.5] one can mimic the arguments of [LG14, 4.3.17] to deduce that the homotopy category of the l.h.s recovers the classical derived category of constructible ℓ -adic sheaves of [BBD82].

Finally, the realization R^ℓ of (3.7.1) is defined via the composition

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{M}\mathbb{B}}(\mathrm{SH}) &\xrightarrow[(3.7.8)]{\sim} \mathrm{Ind}(\mathrm{DM}_{\mathrm{h},lc}(-, R) \otimes_R \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{(3.7.10)} \mathrm{Ind}(\lim_{n \geq 0} \mathrm{DM}_{\mathrm{h},lc}(-, R/\ell^n) \otimes_R \mathbb{Q}) \\
 &\quad \downarrow (3.7.7) \sim \\
 &\quad \mathrm{Sh}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(-)
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.7.11}$$

and by the preceding discussion it is strongly compatible with all the six operations and Tate twists.

Remark 3.28. As R^ℓ is monoidal, for any scheme X we have $R^\ell(\mathbb{M}\mathbb{B}_X) \simeq \mathbb{Q}_{\ell,X}$ the monoidal unit of $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -adic sheaves over X . As it is a left adjoint and commutes with Tate twists, (3.21) implies that that

$$R^\ell(\mathrm{BU}_{X,\mathbb{Q}}) \simeq \mathrm{Free}(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell,X}(1)[2])[u^{-1}] \simeq \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_{\ell,X}(n)[2n] =: \mathbb{Q}_{\ell,X}(\beta)$$

Following the discussion before this remark, note that the $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell,X}(i)$ are given by the usual ℓ -adic Tate twists given by the roots of unity. In particular, the extension to BU-modules (3.7.3) takes values in Tate-twisted 2-periodic objects inside $\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(X)$, i.e. objects E together with an equivalence $E \simeq E(1)[2]$.

Throughout the rest of this paper we will write

$$R^\ell : \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{BU}}(\mathrm{SH}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell(\beta)}(\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(-)) \tag{3.7.12}$$

to denote the natural transformation obtained via the composition (3.7.3). It is strongly compatible with all six operations and Tate twists.

4. VANISHING CYCLES AND SINGULARITY CATEGORIES

In this section we prove our main Theorem 4.5 which establishes the link between the results of the previous section and the theory of vanishing cycles. Namely, it says that the motive of the dg-category of matrix factorizations is a model for the ℓ -adic cohomology of the 2-periodized sheaf of vanishing cycles. Before coming to the precise statement, we will first recall the theory of nearby and vanishing cycles in the motivic setting.

4.1. Nearby and Vanishing Cycles. In this section we recall the formalism of nearby and vanishing cycles in the ℓ -adic setting as presented in [MR072]. More recently, a motivic formulation was developed by Ayoub in [Ayo07b]. There are several technical steps required to express the formalism of [Ayo07b] in the higher categorical setting. We provide these details in the appendix of this paper.

Throughout this section we fix a diagram of schemes

$$\eta \xrightarrow{j_\eta} S \xleftarrow{i_\sigma} \sigma \quad (4.1.1)$$

with S an excellent henselian trait, namely, the spectrum of a excellent discrete valuation ring A , with uniformizer π , generic point $\eta = \operatorname{Spec}(K)$ and closed point $\sigma = \operatorname{Spec}(A/m)$ with $m = (\pi)$ the maximal ideal and $k := A/m$ is a perfect field of exponential characteristic $p \geq 0$. In practice we will take S to be the spectrum of a complete discrete valuation ring. Roughly speaking, the scheme S plays the role of a formal disk, σ of the center of the disk and η of the punctured disk (this is quite precise in the equicharacteristic zero case). We will say that a henselian trait is *strictly local* if k is algebraically closed. In this case the pair (η, σ) forms a closed-open complement pair and the maps are, respectively, an open and a closed immersion.

Remark 4.1. The choice of an uniformizer $\pi \in A$ defines a map $\pi : S \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_S^1$. In this case we have two cartesian diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \eta & \xrightarrow{j_\eta} & S & \xleftarrow{i_\sigma} & \sigma \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{G}_{m,S} & \xrightarrow{j_0} & \mathbf{A}_S^1 & \xleftarrow{i_0} & S \end{array} \quad (4.1.2)$$

which allow us to reduce ourselves to working over an affine line, even in mixed characteristic. Notice that both diagrams are in fact derived fiber products. Indeed, for the l.h.s this is immediate because the inclusion of $\mathbf{G}_{m,S}$ is an open immersion and for the r.h.s. we find that the derived tensor product is given by the spectrum of the commutative differential graded algebra

$$0 \longrightarrow \underline{A}_{-1} \xrightarrow{\pi} \underline{A}_0 \longrightarrow 0 \quad (4.1.3)$$

which is, in fact, quasi-isomorphic to A/π , since π is a non-zero divisor.

In what follows, we fix:

- a separable closure $\bar{\eta} = \operatorname{Spec}(\bar{K}^{\operatorname{sep}})$ of K and denote by $\bar{S}$ the spectrum of $\bar{R}$ - the strict henselization of R inside $\bar{K}^{\operatorname{sep}}$. We will denote by $\bar{\sigma} = \operatorname{Spec}(\bar{k})$ the closed point of $\bar{S}$ where $\bar{k}$ is a separable closure of k .
- $\bar{S}$ is again a discrete valuation ring with closed point $\bar{\sigma}$ and fraction field K^{unr} a maximal unramified extension of K in K^{sep} . See [Ser62, Chapitre II, §2 Prop 3 and Ex.4]. We set $\eta^{\operatorname{unr}} = \operatorname{Spec}(K^{\operatorname{unr}})$ and denote as $j_{\eta^{\operatorname{unr}}} : \eta^{\operatorname{unr}} \rightarrow \bar{S}$ the open immersion. The data of $(\bar{S}, \bar{\sigma}, \eta^{\operatorname{unr}})$ is a strictly local trait.

- Recall also (see [Ser62, Chapitre II §2, Chapitre IV §4 §]) that K^{unr} is obtained from K by adjoining all roots of unit of order prime to p , and that the category of unramified extensions of K is equivalent to the category of separable extensions of the residue field k . This tells us that $\text{Gal}(K^{\text{unr}}/K) \simeq \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$;
- $\eta^t = \text{Spec}(K^t)$ a maximal tamely ramified extension of K inside $\bar{K}^{\text{sep}}$. Recall that this is obtained by adjoining all n^{th} -roots of π to K^{unr} with n coprime to p .

All this information fits in a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \bar{\eta} & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & \eta^t & \xrightarrow{j_{\bar{\eta}}} & \bar{S} \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow \\
 & & \eta^{\text{unr}} & \xrightarrow{j_{\eta^{\text{unr}}}} & \bar{S} \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow \\
 & & \eta & \xrightarrow{j_{\eta}} & S \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow \\
 & & \eta & \xrightarrow{j_{\eta}} & S \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow \\
 & & \eta & \xrightarrow{j_{\eta}} & S
 \end{array}
 \quad (4.1.4)$$

u_{η} (curved arrow from $\bar{\eta}$ to η)
 $i_{\bar{\sigma}}$ (arrow from $\bar{S}$ to $\bar{\sigma}$)
 i_{σ} (arrow from S to σ)
 u_{σ} (arrow from $\bar{\sigma}$ to σ)
 u (arrow from $\bar{S}$ to S)

which we should keep in mind in this section. Given all this, recall also the existence of an exact sequences of groups

$$1 \rightarrow I := \text{Gal}(\bar{\eta}/\eta^{\text{unr}}) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\bar{\eta}/\eta) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\bar{\sigma}/\sigma) \rightarrow 1$$

where I is inertia group, which fits in a exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\bar{\eta}/\eta^t) \rightarrow I \rightarrow I_t := \text{Gal}(\eta^t/\eta^{\text{unr}}) \rightarrow 1 \quad (4.1.5)$$

where I_t is the *tame inertia group*, isomorphic to $\lim_{(n,p)=1} \mu_n$ where μ_n is the group of n^{th} -roots of unit in K^{unr}

Consider a map $f : X \rightarrow S$. We recall the definition of the nearby and vanishing cycles in the ℓ -adic setting. Consider the cartesian diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & X_{\bar{\eta}} & \xrightarrow{\bar{j}} & \bar{X} & \xleftarrow{\bar{i}} & X_{\bar{\sigma}} \\
 & \swarrow v_{\eta} & \downarrow p_{\bar{\eta}} & \swarrow v & \downarrow \bar{p} & \swarrow v_{\sigma} & \downarrow p_{\bar{\sigma}} \\
 X_{\eta} & \xrightarrow{j} & X & \xleftarrow{i} & X_{\sigma} & \\
 \downarrow p_{\eta} & & \downarrow p & & \downarrow p_{\sigma} & \\
 & \bar{\eta} & \xrightarrow{j_{\bar{\eta}}} & \bar{S} & \xleftarrow{i_{\bar{\sigma}}} & \bar{\sigma} \\
 & \swarrow u_{\eta} & \downarrow & \swarrow u & \downarrow & \swarrow u_{\sigma} \\
 \eta & \xrightarrow{j_{\eta}} & S & \xleftarrow{i_{\sigma}} & \sigma &
 \end{array} \tag{4.1.6}$$

By definition, the object of nearby cycles associated to $E \in \mathrm{Sh}_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}}(X)$ is given by $\Psi(E) := \bar{i}^* \bar{j}_* E_{\bar{\eta}}$ (where $E_{\bar{\eta}} := v_{\eta}^* j^* E \simeq \bar{j}^* v^* E$) in the ∞ -category $\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}}(X_{\bar{\sigma}})$, endowed with an natural action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\eta}/\eta)$ via v_{η} .

Set $E_{\bar{\sigma}} := v_{\sigma}^* i^* E$. There is a canonical adjunction morphism

$$E_{\bar{\sigma}} \rightarrow \Psi_p(E) \tag{4.1.7}$$

that is compatible with the action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\eta}/\eta)$. On the l.h.s this action comes via (4.1.5). By definition, the object of vanishing cycles $\mathcal{V}_E$ is the cofiber of this map

$$E_{\bar{\sigma}} \rightarrow \Psi_p(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{E,p}$$

in the ∞ -category $\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}}(X_{\bar{\sigma}})^{\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\eta}/\eta)}$.

4.2. Comparison between Vanishing Cycles and the singularity category. Throughout this section we fix an excellent henselian trait $S = \mathrm{Spec} A$ with uniformizer π . We also fix $p : X \rightarrow S$ a proper flat scheme of finite type over S with X regular. We consider the LG-pair given by X with the function

$$f := X \xrightarrow{p} S \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{A}_S^1 \tag{4.2.1}$$

given by the uniformizer. We will denote this LG-pair by (X, π) . Our main result establishes a comparison between the motivic realization of the corresponding singularity dg-category $\mathrm{Sing}(X, \pi)$ and the vanishing cycles for the map p . More precisely, we have pullback squares

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X_\eta & \xrightarrow{j} & X & \xleftarrow{i} & X_\sigma = X_0 \\
 \downarrow p_\eta & \lrcorner & \downarrow p & \lrcorner & \downarrow p_\sigma \\
 \eta & \xrightarrow{j_\eta} & S & \xleftarrow{i_\sigma} & \sigma \\
 \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \pi & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\
 \mathbb{G}_{m,S} & \xrightarrow{j_0} & \mathbb{A}_S^1 & \xleftarrow{i_0} & S \\
 & \searrow q & \downarrow & \nearrow & \\
 & & S & &
 \end{array} \tag{4.2.2}$$

which by the Remark 4.1 and under the hypothesis that p is flat, are also derived fiber products.

4.2.1. We start this section, by exploring the motive of the derived category of singularities of the pair (X, π) . From the discussion on motivic realizations of dg-categories, the diagram (3.4.13) provides a cofiber-fiber sequence of BU_S -modules

$$\mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\mathrm{Sing}(X, \pi)) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\mathrm{Perf}(X_0))[1] \oplus p_* \mathrm{BU}_X \rightarrow p_* j_* \mathrm{BU}_{X_\eta} \tag{4.2.3}$$

where we have $\mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\mathrm{Perf}(X_0)) \simeq p_* i_* \mathrm{BU}_{X_0}$, since in this case the canonical inclusion $t(X_0) \rightarrow X_0$ is an equivalence and we can apply the discussion for schemes of section 3.4..

As we are now working over a local ring $S = \mathrm{Spec} A$, the localization property for SH (see Prop. A.3) and A.2) gives us a cofiber-fiber sequence of BU_S -modules

$$(j_\eta)_\# \circ (j_\eta)^*(\mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\mathrm{Sing}(X, \pi))) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\mathrm{Sing}(X, \pi)) \rightarrow (i_\sigma)_* \circ (i_\sigma)^*(\mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\mathrm{Sing}(X, \pi))) \tag{4.2.4}$$

Proposition 4.2. *Assume the conditions in the beginning of Section 4.2. Then the first term in the cofiber-sequence (4.2.4) is a zero object. In this case the last map in (4.2.4) is an equivalence of BU_S -modules and the motivic BU_S -module of $\mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\mathrm{Sing}(X, \pi))$ is completely determined by its restriction to the residue field. More precisely, it is determined by a cofiber-fiber sequence of BU_k -modules*

$$(i_\sigma)^*(\mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\mathrm{Sing}(X, \pi))) \rightarrow (p_\sigma)_*(\mathrm{BU}_{X_0}[1] \oplus \mathrm{BU}_{X_0}) \rightarrow (p_\sigma)_* i^* j_* \mathrm{BU}_{X_\eta} \tag{4.2.5}$$

Proof. To show that the first term is zero, as $j_\#$ is fully faithful²⁰, it is enough to apply j^* to the first row of the the diagram (3.4.13) and check it is sent to zero. Indeed, under

²⁰Follows from smooth base change for j (see Prop. A.3 and A.2).

the hypothesis that p is proper, proper base change (see Prop. A.3 and A.2) gives us a natural equivalence $(j_\eta)^* p_* \simeq (p_\eta)_* j^*$. But again, the localization property tells us $j^* \circ i_* \simeq 0$, so that the first two terms in the first row of (3.4.13) become zero. So does the cofiber $(j_\eta)^*(\mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\text{Sing}(X_0)))$.

To describe $(i_\sigma)^*(\mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\text{Sing}(X_0)))$ we apply $(i_\sigma)^*$ to the whole diagram (3.4.13). Again because of proper base change, we have a natural equivalence $(i_\sigma)^* p_* \simeq (p_\sigma)_* i^*$. Moreover, as the counit is an equivalence $(i_\sigma)^* \circ (i_\sigma)_* \simeq Id$ ²¹, we recover

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 (p_\sigma)_* \text{BU}_{X_0} & \longrightarrow & (p_\sigma)_* i^! \text{BU}_X & \longrightarrow & i^*(\mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\text{Sing}(X_0))) \\
 & \searrow 0 & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & (p_\sigma)_* \text{BU}_{X_0} & \searrow & \\
 (i_\sigma)^*(j_\eta)_*(p_\eta)_* \text{BU}_{X_\eta} & \xlongequal{\quad} & (p_\sigma)_* i^* j_* \text{BU}_{X_\eta} & \longleftarrow & (p_\sigma)_* \text{BU}_{X_0}[1] \oplus (p_\sigma)_* \text{BU}_{X_0}
 \end{array} \tag{4.2.6}$$

□

Finally, we study the image of $\mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\text{Sing}(X, \pi))$ under the $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -adic realization functor (3.7.12). By construction $R^\ell(\mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\text{Sing}(X, \pi)))$ carries the structure of Tate-twisted-2-periodic object in $\text{Sh}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(S)$. Moreover, given the fact that p is proper, proper base change, the strong compatibility between the six operations and Prop. 4.2 together, imply that the $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -adic sheaf $R_S^\ell(\mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\text{Sing}(X, \pi)))$ is again determined by its restriction to the residue field via a cofiber-fiber sequence of $R_k^\ell(\text{BU}_k)$ -modules

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (i_\sigma)^* R_S^\ell(\mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\text{Sing}(X, \pi))) & \longrightarrow & (p_\sigma)_*(R_{X_0}^\ell(\text{BU}_{X_0})[1] \oplus R_{X_0}^\ell(\text{BU}_{X_0})) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & (p_\sigma)_* i^* j_* R_{X_\eta}^\ell(\text{BU}_{X_\eta})
 \end{array} \tag{4.2.7}$$

Remark 4.3. Notice that as $R_{X_0}^\ell(\text{BU}_{X_0}) \simeq \mathbb{Q}_{\ell, X_0}(\beta)$ one has:

$$(p_\sigma)_*(R^\ell(\text{BU}_{X_0})) \simeq (p_\sigma)_* p_\sigma^*(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell, \sigma}(\beta)) \simeq (p_\sigma)_*(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell, X_0}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell, \sigma}(\beta) \simeq ((p_\sigma)_*(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell, X_0}))(\beta)$$

²¹See [Robdf, Rmk 9.4.1.9]

where the second equivalence follows from the projection formula (as p is proper) and the last equivalence from the definitions and because the tensor product commutes with colimits separably in each variable.

4.2.2. Let $\mathcal{V}_\pi(\beta) := \mathcal{V}_{E,p}$ where $E = R_X^\ell(\mathrm{BU}_X)$. The formalism of vanishing cycles associated to the diagram (4.2.2) produces a cofiber-fiber sequence in the equivariant derived ∞ -category $\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(\bar{\sigma})^{\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\eta}/\eta)}$

$$(p_{\bar{\sigma}})_* \mathcal{V}_\pi(\beta)[-1] \rightarrow (p_{\bar{\sigma}})_* R_{X_{\bar{\sigma}}}^\ell(\mathrm{BU}_{X_{\bar{\sigma}}}) \rightarrow (p_{\bar{\sigma}})_* \bar{i}^* \bar{j}^* R^\ell(\mathrm{BU}_{X_{\bar{\eta}}}) \quad (4.2.8)$$

Taking homotopy fixed points under the action of the inertia group $I \subseteq \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\eta}, \eta)$ we obtain a cofiber-fiber sequence in $\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(\bar{\sigma})^{\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\sigma}/\sigma)}$:

$$((p_{\bar{\sigma}})_* \mathcal{V}_\pi(\beta)[-1])^{\mathrm{hI}} \rightarrow ((p_{\bar{\sigma}})_* R_{X_{\bar{\sigma}}}^\ell(\mathrm{BU}_{X_{\bar{\sigma}}}))^{\mathrm{hI}} \rightarrow ((p_{\bar{\sigma}})_* \bar{i}^* \bar{j}^* R^\ell(\mathrm{BU}_{X_{\bar{\eta}}}))^{\mathrm{hI}} \quad (4.2.9)$$

From now on, for simplicity, we assume that S is strictly local, so that $\bar{\sigma} = \sigma$. We start by describing the middle term of (4.2.9): by adjunction and Galois theory, we show it is equivalent to $(p_\sigma)_* \bar{i}^* j^* R_{X_\eta}^\ell(\mathrm{BU}_{X_\eta})$. Let $v_\eta : X_{\bar{\eta}} \rightarrow X_\eta$ be the canonical map. Then by Galois theory we know that étale sheaves on X_η are equivalent to étale sheaves on $X_{\bar{\eta}}$ endowed with a continuous action of the Galois group. The equivalence is given by v_η^* , and by taking fixed points of global section $(v_\eta)_*^{\mathrm{hGal}(\bar{\eta}/\eta)}$ in the other direction. Then we have $\mathrm{Id}_{X_\eta} = ((v_\eta)_* v_\eta^*)^{\mathrm{hGal}(\bar{\eta}/\eta)}$. As in the strictly local case we have $\bar{j} = j \circ v_\eta$ we find that

$$(i^* \bar{j}^* (v_\eta^* E_\eta))^{\mathrm{hGal}(\bar{\eta}/\eta)} \simeq i^* (\bar{j}^* (v_\eta^* E_\eta))^{\mathrm{hGal}(\bar{\eta}/\eta)} \simeq i^* (j^* (v_\eta)_* v_\eta^* E_\eta)^{\mathrm{hGal}(\bar{\eta}/\eta)}$$

,

$$\simeq i^* j^* ((v_\eta)_* v_\eta^* E_\eta)^{\mathrm{hGal}(\bar{\eta}/\eta)} \simeq i^* j^* E_\eta$$

for any $E_\eta \in \mathrm{Sh}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(X_\eta)$. See [MR073a, Exp XIII pag 7].

Let us now discuss the last term of (4.2.9) using absolute purity for ℓ -adic sheaves:

Proposition 4.4. *There is a canonical equivalence*

$$((p_{\bar{\sigma}})_* R_{X_{\bar{\sigma}}}^\ell(\mathrm{BU}_{X_{\bar{\sigma}}}))^{\mathrm{hI}} \simeq (p_{\bar{\sigma}})_* R_{X_{\bar{\sigma}}}^\ell(\mathrm{BU}_{X_{\bar{\sigma}}}) \oplus (p_{\bar{\sigma}})_* R_{X_{\bar{\sigma}}}^\ell(\mathrm{BU}_{X_{\bar{\sigma}}})(-1)[-1]$$

Proof. By construction of vanishing cycles, the action of I on $(p_{\bar{\sigma}})_* R_{X_{\bar{\sigma}}}^\ell(\mathrm{BU}_{X_{\bar{\sigma}}})$ is the trivial action so that by a projection formula

$$((p_{\bar{\sigma}})_* R_{X_{\bar{\sigma}}}^\ell(\mathrm{BU}_{X_{\bar{\sigma}}}))^{\mathrm{hI}} \simeq (p_{\bar{\sigma}})_* R_{X_{\bar{\sigma}}}^\ell(\mathrm{BU}_{X_{\bar{\sigma}}}) \otimes (\mathbb{Q}_{\ell, \bar{\sigma}})^{\mathrm{hI}}$$

The claim then follows from the computation

$$(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell, \bar{\sigma}})^{\mathrm{hI}} \simeq \mathbb{Q}_{\ell, \bar{\sigma}} \oplus \mathbb{Q}_{\ell, \bar{\sigma}}(-1)[-1]$$

in $\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(\bar{\sigma})^{\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\sigma}/\sigma)}$. The last identifies trivially with $\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(\sigma)$ because we are working with S strictly local. To perform this computation we proceed in three steps:

Step 1) Let us first remark that given the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{G}m_S & \xrightarrow{j_0} & \mathbb{A}_S^1 & \xleftarrow{i_0} & S \\ & \searrow q & \downarrow \pi & \swarrow Id & \\ & & S & & \end{array} \quad (4.2.10)$$

we have

$$(i_0)^*(j_0)_*1_{\mathbb{G}m_S} \simeq q_*1_{\mathbb{G}m_S} \simeq 1_S \bigoplus 1_S(-1)[-1] \quad (4.2.11)$$

Here these operations hold for SH_S but also for any realization compatible with the six operations (like the ℓ -adic one with $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ coefficients).

To show this, we remark first that we have $q_*1_{\mathbb{G}m_S} = 1_S \bigoplus 1_S(1)[1]$. Indeed, by definition of the Tate motive we obtain $1_S(1)[1]$ as the cofiber of the map in motives over S of $1 : S \rightarrow \mathbb{G}m_S$. As this map has a splitting given by the projection $q : \mathbb{G}m_S \rightarrow S$ we deduce the formula. By adjunction we obtain $q_*1_{\mathbb{G}m} = 1_S \bigoplus 1_S(-1)[-1]$

To show the formula (4.2.11) it is now enough to show that $(i_0)^*(j_0)_*q^* \simeq q_*q^*$. For that, we notice that the projection $p_{\sharp}p^* \rightarrow Id$ admits a section given by the $1 : S \rightarrow \mathbb{G}m_S$ and under the adjunctions, this becomes a splitting of the unit $Id \rightarrow p_*p^*$. Now we recall that $q = \pi \circ j_0$ so that this is equivalent to show that

$$(i_0)^*(j_0)_*j_0^*\pi^* \simeq \pi_*(j_0)_*j_0^*\pi^*$$

From the localization property we have an exact sequence

$$\pi_*(i_0)_*i_0^!\pi^* \longrightarrow \pi_*Id\pi^* \longrightarrow \pi_*(j_0)_*j_0^*\pi^*$$

Because of $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariance we have $\pi_*Id\pi^* \simeq Id$. Moreover, as $\pi_*(i_0)_* = Id_S$, the last sequence is equivalent to

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \pi_*(i_0)_*i_0^!\pi^* & \longrightarrow & \pi_*Id\pi^* & \longrightarrow & \pi_*(j_0)_*j_0^*\pi^* \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ i_0^!\pi^* & \longrightarrow & Id_S & \longrightarrow & \pi_*(j_0)_*j_0^*\pi^* \end{array}$$

where the horizontal maps on the right split because of the discussion above. Finally, because $(i_0)_*$ is fully faithful and because $i_0^* \pi^* = Id_S$ the sequence is also equivalent to

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \pi_*(i_0)_* i_0^! \pi^* & \longrightarrow & \pi_* Id \pi^* & \longrightarrow & \pi_*(j_0)_* j_0^* \pi^* \\
 \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\
 i_0^! \pi^* & \longrightarrow & Id_S & \longrightarrow & \pi_*(j_0)_* j_0^* \pi^* \\
 \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow \sim & & \\
 i_0^*(i_0)_* i_0^! \pi^* & \longrightarrow & i_0^* \pi^* & &
 \end{array}$$

and from the localization sequence

$$i_0^*(i_0)_* i_0^! \pi^* \longrightarrow i_0^* \pi^* \longrightarrow i_0^*(j_0)_* j_0^* \pi^*$$

we deduce the result. Moreover, because of the splitting we find

$$i_0^! 1_{\mathbb{A}_S^1} \simeq 1_S \bigoplus 1_S(-1)[-2]$$

Step 2) Now we specialize back to the $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -realization: Absolute purity for ℓ -adic sheaves [Ayo14, 7.4] says that the transfer map

$$t^* i_0^! \rightarrow i_\sigma^! \pi^*$$

associated to the pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \sigma & \xrightarrow{i_\sigma} & S \\
 \downarrow t & & \downarrow \pi \\
 S & \xrightarrow{i_0} & \mathbb{A}_S^1
 \end{array} \tag{4.2.12}$$

with π the uniformizer, is an equivalence. Therefore we obtain equivalences

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 i_\sigma^! t^* \mathbb{Q}_{\ell,S} & \longrightarrow & i_\sigma^* t^* \mathbb{Q}_{\ell,S} & \longrightarrow & i_\sigma^* j_* j^* \mathbb{Q}_{\ell,S} \\
 \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow \\
 t^* i_0^! & \longrightarrow & t^* i_0^* & \longrightarrow & t^*(i_0)^*(j_0)_* \mathbb{Q}_{\ell, Gm_S}
 \end{array} \tag{4.2.13}$$

so that the last terms on the right are also equivalent and therefore, by the computation in the previous section, equivalent to $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell,\sigma} \oplus \mathbb{Q}_{\ell,\sigma}(-1)[-1]$. Therefore we find an exact sequence

$$i_{\sigma}^! \mathbb{Q}_{\ell, S} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{\ell, \sigma} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{\ell, \sigma} \oplus \mathbb{Q}_{\ell, \sigma}(-1)[-1]$$

where the last map has a splitting. In this case we find $i_{\sigma}^! \mathbb{Q}_{\ell, S} \simeq \mathbb{Q}_{\ell, \sigma}(-1)[-2]$ and deduce that

$$i_{\sigma}^*(j_{\eta})_* \mathbb{Q}_{\ell, \eta} \simeq \mathbb{Q}_{\ell, \sigma} \bigoplus \mathbb{Q}_{\ell, \sigma}(-1)[-1]$$

Step 3) As S is trivially smooth over itself via the identity map, the specialization map defining vanishing cycles relatively to S

$$\mathbb{Q}_{\ell, \sigma} \rightarrow i_{\sigma}^*(j_{\bar{\eta}})_* \mathbb{Q}_{\ell, \bar{\eta}}$$

is an equivalence in $\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}}(\sigma)^{\mathrm{I}}$ where in this case as we work under the assumption that S is strictly local, we have $\mathrm{I} = \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\eta}, \eta)$. This follows from local acyclicity for smooth maps - see [MR073a, Exp XIII Prop 2.1.4] and [MR073b, Exp XV 2.1]. The specialization map being equivariant, implies that after passing to I-invariants we still get an equivalence

$$\mathbb{Q}_{\ell, \sigma}^{\mathrm{hI}} \simeq (i_{\sigma}^*(j_{\bar{\eta}})_* \mathbb{Q}_{\ell, \bar{\eta}})^{\mathrm{hI}}$$

Finally, by the same argument preceding the proof of this proposition when describing the last term of (4.2.9), we find

$$(i_{\sigma}^*(j_{\bar{\eta}})_* \mathbb{Q}_{\ell, \bar{\eta}})^{\mathrm{hI}} \simeq i_{\sigma}^*(j_{\eta})_* \mathbb{Q}_{\ell, \eta}$$

which, by the Step 2) above, is equivalent to $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell, \sigma} \oplus \mathbb{Q}_{\ell, \sigma}(-1)[-1]$.

This concludes the proof of the proposition. Let us precise that in alternative to this proof, one also prove this result via a direct computation: as we are considering continuous actions on $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ -vector spaces and $\ell \neq p$, the action of the group I factors through the action of I_t (recall that the kernel of $\mathrm{I} \rightarrow \mathrm{I}_t$ is a pro- p -group). As $\mathrm{I}_t \simeq \prod_{\ell' \neq p} \mathbb{Z}_{\ell'}(1)$, the action can still be factored by the maximal pro- ℓ -quotient of I_t , given by the profinite groupe $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(1) \simeq \lim_n \mu_{\ell^n}$. See the computation in the proof of [Del80, Thm 3.6.1]. To conclude we use the computation of the group cohomology of $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(1)$: Combine [Ser62, Chapter XIII § 1 Prop 2] with the fact that $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ is divisible and conclude that there is no cohomology in degree 2. Moreover, combine [Ser62, Chapter XIII § 1 Exercice 1] and the fact that $H^q(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, M) \simeq M/nM$ if q is even and $\simeq n$ -torsion submodule of M , if q is odd. To conclude, if M is divisible, all higher cohomology groups vanish. For the H^0 and H^1 this is a standard computation. $\square$

As a conclusion to this discussion, (4.2.9) becomes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 ((p_{\bar{\sigma}})_* \mathcal{V}_{\pi}(\beta)[-1])^{\mathrm{hI}} & \longrightarrow & (p_{\sigma})_* \mathrm{R}_{X_{\sigma}}^{\ell}(\mathrm{BU}_{X_{\sigma}}) \oplus (p_{\sigma})_* \mathrm{R}_{X_{\sigma}}^{\ell}(\mathrm{BU}_{X_{\sigma}})(-1)[-1] \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & (p_{\sigma})_* i^* j_* \mathrm{R}_{X_{\eta}}^{\ell}(\mathrm{BU}_{X_{\eta}})
 \end{array} \quad (4.2.14)$$

Now we can prove our main theorem:

Theorem 4.5. *Let $p : X \rightarrow S$ with X regular, p a proper flat morphism of finite type over a strictly local excellent henselian trait $S = \mathrm{Spec} A$. There is a canonical equivalence of $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ -adic sheaves over S*

$$(i_{\sigma})^* \mathrm{R}_S^{\ell}(\mathcal{M}_S^{\vee}(\mathrm{Sing}(X, \pi))) \simeq ((p_{\sigma})_* \mathcal{V}_{\pi}(\beta)[-1])^{\mathrm{hI}} \quad (4.2.15)$$

Moreover, thanks to the Prop 4.2, we also have an equivalence of $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ -adic sheaves over S

$$\mathrm{R}_S^{\ell}(\mathcal{M}_S^{\vee}(\mathrm{Sing}(X, \pi))) \simeq (i_{\sigma})_* ((p_{\sigma})_* \mathcal{V}_{\pi}(\beta)[-1])^{\mathrm{hI}} \quad (4.2.16)$$

Proof. The proof follows from the comparison of the two cofiber-fiber sequences (4.2.7) and (4.2.14). It is enough to exhibit a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (p_{\sigma})_*(\mathrm{R}^{\ell}(\mathrm{BU}_{X_{\sigma}})(-1)[-1] \oplus \mathrm{R}^{\ell}(\mathrm{BU}_{X_{\sigma}})) & \longrightarrow & (p_{\sigma})_* i^* j_* \mathrm{R}^{\ell}(\mathrm{BU}_{X_{\eta}}) \\
 \downarrow \theta & \nearrow & \\
 (p_{\sigma})_*(\mathrm{R}_{X_0}^{\ell}(\mathrm{BU}_{X_0})[1] \oplus \mathrm{R}_{X_0}^{\ell}(\mathrm{BU}_{X_0})) & &
 \end{array}$$

with the map θ being an equivalence. But for θ we choose the multiplication by the inverse of the Bott element β . The fact it is an equivalence is a consequence of algebraic Bott periodicity (see Remark 3.1). The commutativity of the diagram follows from the observation that the maps in (4.2.7) and (4.2.14) are the units for the same adjunctions at the level of BU -modules, and by the computation in Proposition 4.4. $\square$

Remark 4.6. Unwinding the definitions and notations, and using our dg-version of Orlov's comparison (Theorem 2.22), the equivalence of Theorem 4.5 can also be written as an equivalence

$$(i_{\sigma})^* \mathrm{R}_S^{\ell} \mathcal{M}_S^{\vee}(\mathrm{Sing}(X, \pi)) \simeq \mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{et}}(X_k, \mathcal{V}_{\pi}(\beta)[-1])^{\mathrm{hI}}$$

of Tate-periodic ℓ -adic complexes over $\mathrm{Spec} k$.

Remark 4.7. We believe that the equivalence of the Theorem 4.5 is compatible with the canonical action of $\mathrm{R}^{\ell}(\mathrm{MF}(S, 0)) \simeq \mathrm{R}^{\ell}(\mathrm{BU}_S) \oplus \mathrm{R}^{\ell}(\mathrm{BU}_S)[1]$ on the l.h.s and the action of $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}(\beta) \oplus \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}(\beta)[-1]$ on the r.h.s. We will give a proof of this statement in future works using a lax monoidal version of Orlov's equivalence as discussed in the Remark 2.23.

Remark 4.8. Notice also that the sheaf of vanishing cycles $\mathcal{V}_\pi(\beta)$ is canonically equivalent to the tensor product $\mathcal{V}_\pi \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell(\beta)$ where $\mathcal{V}_\pi := \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell, \pi}$ are vanishing cycles with respect to the structure sheaf $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell, X}$. Indeed, given E a $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -adic sheaf on X we claim first to have a canonical equivalence between $\mathcal{V}_{E(1), \pi}$ and $\mathcal{V}_{E, \pi} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1)$. To check this we can look at the cofiber sequence defining vanishing cycles

$$v_\sigma^* i_*(E(1)) \rightarrow \bar{i}^* \bar{j}_* \bar{j}^* v^*(E(1)) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{E(1), \pi} \quad (4.2.17)$$

and notice that pullbacks commute with Tate-twists (by definition) and that one has canonical equivalences

$$\bar{j}_* \bar{j}^*(F(1)) \simeq (\bar{j}_* \bar{j}^* F)(1) \quad (4.2.18)$$

for any F over $\bar{X}$. This equivalence can be deduce by looking at the mapping spaces from a third ℓ -adic sheaf G to both sides of (4.2.18) and use the adjunction (j^*, j_*) together with the fact that j^* is monoidal and that the Tate twist is an invertible object stable under base change. The equivalence $i_*(E(1)) \simeq i_*(E)(1)$ follows by the same argument. In this case the cofiber sequence (4.2.17) is equivalent to

$$(v_\sigma^* i_*(E))(1) \rightarrow (\bar{i}^* \bar{j}_* \bar{j}^* v^* E)(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{E, \pi}(1) \quad (4.2.19)$$

Finally to deduce the equivalence $\mathcal{V}_\pi(\beta) \simeq \mathcal{V}_\pi \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell(\beta)$ one uses the equivalence $\mathbb{Q}_\ell(\beta) \simeq \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell(i)[2i]$ together with the fact that both $*$ -pullbacks and $*$ -pushforwards preserve arbitrary colimits (see the discussion in [Robdf, Example 9.4.6] for pushforwards)

Remark 4.9. The conclusion of the Theorem 4.5 is valid without the hypothesis of S being strictly local. Indeed, in this case we have to apply $(u_\sigma)_*$ to the sequence (4.2.9) and find an equivalence

$$(i_\sigma)^* \mathcal{R}_S^\ell \mathcal{M}_S^\vee(\text{Sing}(X, \pi)) \simeq (u_\sigma)_*(((p_{\bar{\sigma}})_* \mathcal{V}_\pi(\beta)[-1])^{\text{hI}})$$

of Tate-periodic ℓ -adic complexes over $\text{Spec } k$.

APPENDIX A. THE FORMALISM OF THE SIX OPERATIONS IN THE MOTIVIC SETTING

The ∞ -functor $\text{SH}^\otimes$ carries a system of extra functorialities known as the six operations. This means:

- (1) For every smooth morphisms $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of base schemes, the assignment $f^* : \text{SH}_Y \rightarrow \text{SH}_X$ has a left adjoint $f_\#$ which is a map of SH_Y -modules with respect to the natural map induced from the unit of the adjunctions $(f_\#, f^*)$

$$f_\#(- \otimes f^*(-)) \rightarrow f_\#(-) \otimes -$$

where SH_X is seen as a SH_Y -module via the monoidal functoriality f^* . Moreover, $(-)_\sharp$ should verify smooth base-change (see the Appendix A).

- (2) The existence of a second functoriality for the assignment $X \mapsto \mathrm{SH}_X$ encoded by an ∞ -functor

$$\mathrm{SH}_! : \mathrm{Sch}_{/S}^{\mathrm{sep}, \mathrm{ft}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pr}_{\mathrm{Stb}}^{\mathrm{L}}$$

defined in $\mathrm{Sch}_{/S}^{\mathrm{sep}, \mathrm{ft}}$ - the subcategory of $\mathrm{Sch}_{/S}$ spanned by separated morphisms of finite type.

- (3) The existence of natural transformation $(-)_* \rightarrow (-)_!$ and $(-)_\sharp \rightarrow (-)_!$ defined in $\mathrm{Sch}_{/S}^{\mathrm{sep}, \mathrm{ft}}$ and which are an isomorphism, respectively, for proper maps and open immersions. ²²;
- (4) (Projection Formula) The functoriality $\mathrm{SH}_!$ has a module structure over the functoriality $\mathrm{SH}^\otimes$. More precisely, for any map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ separated of finite type, we ask for $f_! : \mathrm{SH}_X \rightarrow \mathrm{SH}_Y$ to be a map of SH_Y -modules as in (1). Here SH_X is seen as a SH_Y -module via the monoidal functoriality f^* .
- (5) For any *cartesian square* of schemes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y' & \xrightarrow{p'} & X' \\ \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\ Y & \xrightarrow{p} & X \end{array} \quad (\text{A.0.1})$$

with f *separated of finite type*, we ask for natural equivalences of ∞ -functors

$$p^* \circ f_! \simeq (f')_! \circ (p')^* \quad (\text{A.0.2})$$

and

$$f^! \circ p_* \simeq (p')_* \circ (f')^! \quad (\text{A.0.3})$$

- (6) For any smooth morphism of relative dimension d , $f : Y \rightarrow X$ the adjunctions $(f_!, f^!)$ and $(f_\sharp, f^*)$ are related by a natural equivalence

$$f_\sharp \simeq f_!(- \otimes (\mathbb{P}_Y^1, \infty)^{\otimes d}) \quad (\text{A.0.4})$$

Thanks to the main results of [Ayo07a, CD12] (see Prop. A.2), all these operations and coherences can be constructed from the initial ∞ -functor $\mathrm{SH}^\otimes$. In the setting of higher categories this can be done using the theory of correspondences developed in [GR, Part V.1]. Alternatively, one can also use results of [LZ12a, LZ12b] as explained in [Robdf, Section 9.4]. In this appendix we give a brief survey of the construction based on the techniques of [GR, Part V.1] but we do not look at the necessary Beck-Chevalley. These have now been carefully treated in [Kha16], in a more general setting where

²²Here $(-)_*$ denotes the right adjoints of the functoriality $\mathrm{SH}^\otimes$

base schemes are allowed to be derived. Fix a base Noetherian scheme S and consider

$\text{Sch}/_S$ in the sense of [CD12, 2.0]. The important key point is Nagata's compactification for separated morphisms of finite type between S -schemes. Suppose we are given an ∞ -functor $F : \text{Sch}/_S^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{CAlg}(\text{Pr}_{\text{Stb}}^{\text{L}})$. From this it is possible to extract a new functor $\text{Arr}(\text{Sch}/_S)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\text{Pr}_{\text{Stb}}^{\text{L}})$ where $\text{Mod}(\text{Pr}_{\text{Stb}}^{\text{L}})$ is the ∞ -category of pairs (C, M) with C a symmetric monoidal stable presentable ∞ -category and M a stable presentable category endowed with a structure of C -module. The new functor sends $f : Y \rightarrow X$ to the pair $(F(X), F(Y))$ with $F(Y)$ seen as a module via $F(f)$. We will also denote by F . We redirect the reader to [Robdf, Section 9.4.1.2] for a precise description of this assignment.

The ∞ -category $\text{Mod}(\text{Pr}_{\text{Stb}}^{\text{L}})$ is a non-full subcategory of $\text{Mod}(\text{Cat}_{\infty}^{\text{big}})$ which is the maximal $(\infty, 1)$ -category of the $(\infty, 2)$ -category $\text{Mod}(\text{Cat}_{\infty}^{\text{big}})^{2\text{-cat}}$ where we also include natural transformations of functors. In reality, the initial data we are interested in, is the new ∞ -functor

$$F : \text{Arr}(\text{Sch}/_S)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\text{Cat}_{\infty}^{\text{big}})^{2\text{-cat}}$$

and the six operations will express the coherences between F and the following five distinct classes of maps in $\text{Sch}/_S$:

- *spft* := separated morphisms of finite type
- *all* := all morphisms
- *isom* := isomorphisms
- *proper* := proper morphisms
- *smooth* := smooth morphisms
- *open* := open morphisms

These classes verify some standard stability assumptions - see [GR, Part V.1 Sect 1.1.1]. We will use the same notations for the classes of maps in $\text{Arr}(\text{Sch}/_S)$ given by natural transformations where the maps belong to the respective classes. We now explain the conditions and their consequences. The reader should consult [GR, Part V.1] for the notations. The first two conditions are:

- (1) F satisfies the *right Beck-Chevalley* condition with respect to the inclusion $\text{vert} := \text{smooth} \subseteq \text{horiz} := \text{all}$ [GR, Def. 3.1.5 Part V.1]. By the universal property of correspondences [GR, Theorem 3.2.2-b) Part V.1] F extends in a unique way to an $(\infty, 2)$ -functor

$$F_{\text{smooth}, \text{all}}^{\text{smooth}} : \text{Corr}(\text{Arr}(\text{Sch}/_S))_{\text{smooth}, \text{all}}^{\text{smooth}} \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\text{Cat}_{\infty}^{\text{big}})^{2\text{-cat}}$$

whose restriction along the inclusion

$$((\text{Sch}/_S)_{\text{horiz}})^{\text{op}} \subseteq \text{Arr}(\text{Sch}/_S)^{\text{op}} \subseteq \text{Corr}(\text{Arr}(\text{Sch}/_S))_{\text{smooth}, \text{all}}^{\text{smooth}}$$

recovers F .

Using the fact that $(\text{Corr}(\text{Arr}(\text{Sch}/S))_{\text{smooth}, \text{all}}^{\text{smooth}})^{1\text{-op}} = \text{Corr}(\text{Arr}(\text{Sch}/S))_{\text{all}, \text{smooth}}^{\text{smooth}}$, passing to the the 1-opposite we obtain a new $(\infty, 2)$ -functor

$$((F)_{\text{smooth}, \text{all}}^{\text{smooth}})^{1\text{-op}} : \text{Corr}(\text{Arr}(\text{Sch}/S))_{\text{all}, \text{smooth}}^{\text{smooth}} \rightarrow (\text{Mod}(\text{Cat}_{\infty}^{\text{big}})^{2\text{-cat}})^{1\text{-op}}$$

whose restriction along

$$(\text{Sch}/S)_{\text{all}} \subseteq \text{Corr}(\text{Arr}(\text{Sch}/S))_{\text{all}, \text{smooth}}^{\text{smooth}}$$

recovers F^{op} .

- (2) $F^{\text{op}} : \text{Arr}(\text{Sch}/S) \rightarrow (\text{Mod}(\text{Cat}_{\infty}^{\text{big}})^{2\text{-cat}})^{1\text{-op}}$ satisfies the *left Beck-Chevalley* with respect to the inclusion $\text{horiz} := \text{proper} \subseteq \text{vert} := \text{all}$ [GR, Def. 3.1.2]. By the universal property of correspondences [GR, Theorem 3.2.2-a) Part V.1] F^{op} extends in a unique way to an $(\infty, 2)$ -functor

$$(F^{\text{op}})_{\text{all}, \text{proper}}^{\text{proper}} : \text{Corr}(\text{Arr}(\text{Sch}/S))_{\text{all}, \text{proper}}^{\text{proper}} \rightarrow (\text{Mod}(\text{Cat}_{\infty}^{\text{big}})^{2\text{-cat}})^{1\text{-op}}$$

whose restriction along the inclusion

$$((\text{Sch}/S)_{\text{vert}}) = \text{Arr}(\text{Sch}/S) \subseteq \text{Corr}(\text{Arr}(\text{Sch}/S))_{\text{all}, \text{proper}}^{\text{proper}}$$

recovers F^{op} .

We remark that these Beck-Chevalley conditions need to be verified at the level of modules.

We consider now the restriction $((F)_{\text{smooth}, \text{all}}^{\text{smooth}})^{1\text{-op}}$ along the inclusion

$$\text{Corr}(\text{Arr}(\text{Sch}/S))_{\text{all}, \text{open}}^{\text{isom}} \subseteq \text{Corr}(\text{Arr}(\text{Sch}/S))_{\text{all}, \text{smooth}}^{\text{smooth}}$$

and observe that we have built a commutative diagram of $(\infty, 2)$ -functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \text{Corr}(\text{Arr}(\text{Sch}/S))_{\text{all}, \text{proper}}^{\text{proper}} & \\ \nearrow & & \searrow \\ \text{Arr}(\text{Sch}/S) & & (\text{Mod}(\text{Cat}_{\infty}^{\text{big}})^{2\text{-cat}})^{1\text{-op}} \\ \searrow & & \nearrow \\ & \text{Corr}(\text{Arr}(\text{Sch}/S))_{\text{all}, \text{open}}^{\text{isom}} & \end{array}$$

The formalism of six operations is constructed by gluing these two functors, merging open immersions and proper maps. More precisely, it follows from Nagata's compactification that any morphism in *spft* can be written as a morphism in *open* composed with a

morphism in *proper*. In this sense what we would like is to produce a new $(\infty, 2)$ -functor completing the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & \text{Corr}(\text{Arr}(\text{Sch}/S))_{\text{all}, \text{proper}}^{\text{proper}} & & & \\
 & \nearrow & & \searrow & \\
 \text{Arr}(\text{Sch}/S) & & \text{Corr}(\text{Arr}(\text{Sch}/S))_{\text{all}, \text{spft}}^{\text{proper}} & \dashrightarrow & (\text{Mod}(\text{Cat}_{\infty}^{\text{big}})^{2\text{-cat}})^{1\text{-op}} \\
 & \searrow & \nearrow & & \\
 & \text{Corr}(\text{Arr}(\text{Sch}/S))_{\text{all}, \text{open}}^{\text{isom}} & & &
 \end{array}$$

This is solved by the theorem [GR, Thm 5.2.4 Part V.1] which gives necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence and uniqueness of the dotted map. These are the following:

- (3) [GR, 5.1.2 Part V.1]: the class $\text{open} \cap \text{proper}$ consists of embeddings of connected components and therefore are monomorphisms.
- (4) [GR, 5.2.2 Part V.1]: For any map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ separated of finite type, the space $\text{Fact}(f)$ of factorizations of f as an open immersion followed by a proper map, is contractible. This is a consequence of Nagata's compactification as explained in [GR, Prop 2.1.6 Part II.2].
- (5) [GR, 5.2.2 Part V.1] It is the well-known *support property* of Deligne.

Definition A.1. We say that an $(\infty, 1)$ -functor $F : \text{Sch}_S^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{CAlg}(\text{Pr}_{\text{Stb}}^{\text{L}})$ has the six operations if it verifies the conditions (1) to (5) above. We denote by $F_{\text{all}, \text{spft}}^{\text{proper}}$ its unique extension

$$\text{Corr}(\text{Arr}(\text{Sch}/S))_{\text{spft}, \text{all}}^{\text{proper}} \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\text{Cat}_{\infty}^{\text{big}})^{2\text{-cat}}$$

Let F verify the six operations. We will use the following notations:

- $F_{\sharp} := F_{\text{smooth}, \text{all}}^{\text{smooth}}|_{\text{Arr}(\text{Sch}/S)_{\text{smooth}}} : \text{Arr}(\text{Sch}/S)_{\text{smooth}} \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\text{Cat}_{\infty}^{\text{big}})^{2\text{-cat}}$
- $F_{*} := ((F^{\text{op}})_{\text{all}, \text{proper}}^{\text{proper}}|_{\text{Arr}(\text{Sch}/S)_{\text{proper}}^{\text{op}}})^{\text{op}} : \text{Arr}(\text{Sch}/S)_{\text{proper}} \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\text{Cat}_{\infty}^{\text{big}})^{2\text{-cat}}$
- $F_{!} := F_{\text{all}, \text{spft}}^{\text{proper}}|_{\text{Arr}(\text{Sch}/S)_{\text{spft}}} : \text{Arr}(\text{Sch}/S)_{\text{spft}} \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\text{Cat}_{\infty}^{\text{big}})^{2\text{-cat}}$

The following result of Ayoub and Cisinski-Deglise gives sufficient conditions for a given F to have the six operations:

Proposition A.2 (Ayoub and Cisinski-Deglise). *Let $F : \text{Sch}_S^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{CAlg}(\text{Pr}_{\text{Stb}}^{\text{L}})$ be an ∞ -functor satisfying the following conditions:*

- a) F satisfies (1);
- b) for each proper map $f : X \rightarrow Y$, $F_*(f)$ has a right adjoint;
- c) (Localization) For every closed immersion $i : Z \hookrightarrow X$ of base schemes with open complementary $U := X - Z \hookrightarrow X$ the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(Z) & \xrightarrow{F_*(i)} & F(X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow F(f) \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & F(U) \end{array}$$

is a pullback in $\mathrm{Pr}_{\mathrm{Stb}}^{\mathrm{L}}$

- d) (Homotopy Invariance) For any base scheme X , the map $F(\pi) : F(X) \rightarrow F(\mathbb{A}_X^1)$ is fully faithful. Here $\pi : \mathbb{A}_X^1 \rightarrow X$ is the canonical projection.
- e) (Stability) For any base scheme X , the composition $F_{\sharp}(\pi) \circ F_*(s) : F(X) \rightarrow F(X)$ maps the tensor unit to a $\otimes$ -invertible object (the Tate motive).²³

Then F satisfies all the conditions (1)-(5).

Proposition A.3. *The ∞ -functor $\mathrm{SH}^{\otimes}$ verifies all the conditions of the Prop A.2.*

Proof. The localization property was proved by Morel-Voevodsky in [MV99, Thm 2.21 pag. 114]. The other conditions follow from the results of Cisinski-Deglise in [CD12] and Ayoub [Ayo07a, Ayo07b]. The fact it satisfies the necessary Beck-Chevalley conditions in the correct ∞ -sense is proved in [Kha16]. See also the survey in [Robdf, Sections 9.3 and 9.4.1] for an overview and more precise references. $\square$

To conclude this section we discuss the compatibility of the six operations under natural transformations. We have the following result due to Ayoub (for the projective case) and Cisinski-Deglise (for the generalization to proper morphisms)

Proposition A.4. *(See [Ayo10, Theorem 3.4] and [CD12]) Let $\phi : F \rightarrow G$ be a natural transformation of ∞ -functors $\mathrm{Sch}_{/S}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Pr}_{\mathrm{Stb}}^{\mathrm{L}})$ such that*

- (1) both F and G satisfy the hypothesis of the Proposition A.2.
- (2) if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a smooth map in $\mathrm{Sch}_{/S}$, then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X) & \xrightarrow{\phi_X} & G(X) \\ \uparrow F(f) & & \uparrow G(f) \\ F(Y) & \xrightarrow{\phi_Y} & G(Y) \end{array} \tag{A.0.5}$$

²³ $s : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_X^1$ being the zero section.

is left-adjointable

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X) & \xrightarrow{\phi_X} & G(X) \\ \downarrow F_{\sharp}(f) & & \downarrow G_{\sharp}(f) \\ F(Y) & \xrightarrow{\phi_Y} & G(Y) \end{array} \quad (\text{A.0.6})$$

Then, the natural transformation induced from the adjunctions

$$G_! \circ \phi \rightarrow \phi \circ F_! \quad (\text{A.0.7})$$

is an equivalence. Moreover, the natural transformations

$$\phi \circ F_* \rightarrow G_* \circ \phi \quad \phi \circ F^! \rightarrow G^! \circ \phi \quad (\text{A.0.8})$$

are given by equivalences whenever f is proper, respectively, smooth.

REFERENCES

- [AG15] D. Arinkin and D. Gaitsgory. Singular support of coherent sheaves and the geometric Langlands conjecture. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 21(1):1–199, 2015.
- [Ayo07a] Joseph Ayoub. Les six opérations de Grothendieck et le formalisme des cycles évanescents dans le monde motivique. I. *Astérisque*, (314):x+466 pp. (2008), 2007.
- [Ayo07b] Joseph Ayoub. Les six opérations de Grothendieck et le formalisme des cycles évanescents dans le monde motivique. II. *Astérisque*, (315):vi+364 pp. (2008), 2007.
- [Ayo10] Joseph Ayoub. Note sur les opérations de Grothendieck et la réalisation de Betti. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 9(2):225–263, 2010.
- [Ayo14] Joseph Ayoub. La réalisation étale et les opérations de Grothendieck. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, 47(1):1–145, 2014.
- [Bar12] C. Barwick. On exact infinity-categories and the theorem of the heart. 2012.
- [BBD82] A. A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein, and P. Deligne. Faisceaux pervers. In *Analysis and topology on singular spaces, I (Luminy, 1981)*, volume 100 of *Astérisque*, pages 5–171. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1982.
- [Bla13] A. Blanc. *Invariants topologiques des espaces non commutatifs*. arXiv:1307.6430, Preprint (2013).
- [Bla15] A. Blanc. Topological K-theory of complex noncommutative spaces. *Compositio Mathematica*, FirstView:1–67, 10 2015.
- [Blo85] S. Bloch. *Cycles on arithmetic schemes and Euler characteristics of curves*, in *Algebraic Geometry (Bowdoin, 1985)*, volume 46, part II of *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.* AMS, Providence, 1985.
- [BvdB03] A. Bondal and M. van den Bergh. Generators and representability of functors in commutative and noncommutative geometry. *Mosc. Math. J.*, 3(1):1–36, 258, 2003.
- [BW12] Jesse Burke and Mark E. Walker. Matrix factorizations over projective schemes. *Homology Homotopy Appl.*, 14(2):37–61, 2012.
- [CaaT13] Andrei Căldăraru and Junwu Tu. Curved A_{∞} algebras and Landau-Ginzburg models. *New York J. Math.*, 19:305–342, 2013.
- [CD12] D-C Cisinski and F. Deglise. *Triangulated Categories of Mixed Motives*. <http://arxiv.org/abs/0912.2110>, December 2012.

- [CD16] Denis-Charles Cisinski and Frédéric Déglise. Etale motives. *Compositio Math.*, 152:556–666, 2016.
- [Cis13] Denis-Charles Cisinski. Descente par éclatements en k -théorie invariante par homotopie. In *Annals of Mathematics*, volume 177, pages 425–448. 2013.
- [Coh13] Lee Cohn. Differential graded categories are k -linear stable infinity categories (arxiv). 2013.
- [CT11] Denis-Charles Cisinski and Gonçalo Tabuada. Non-connective K -theory via universal invariants. *Compos. Math.*, 147(4):1281–1320, 2011.
- [CT12] Denis-Charles Cisinski and Gonçalo Tabuada. Symmetric monoidal structure on non-commutative motives. *J. K-Theory*, 9(2):201–268, 2012.
- [Del80] Pierre Deligne. La conjecture de Weil. II. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (52):137–252, 1980.
- [Dyc11a] Tobias Dyckerhoff. Compact generators in categories of matrix factorizations. *Duke Math. J.*, 159(2):223–274, 2011.
- [Dyc11b] Tobias Dyckerhoff. Compact generators in categories of matrix factorizations. *Duke Math. J.*, 159(2):223–274, 2011.
- [Ef12] A. I. Efimov. Cyclic homology of categories of matrix factorizations. arXiv:1212.2859, 2012.
- [EP15] Alexander I Efimov and Leonid Positselski. Coherent analogues of matrix factorizations and relative singularity categories. *Algebra & Number Theory*, 9(5):1159–1292, 2015.
- [GR] D. Gaitsgory and N. Rozenblyum. *A study in derived algebraic geometry*.
- [Gro65] A. Grothendieck. éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas. II. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (24):231, 1965.
- [GS09] David Gepner and Victor Snaith. On the motivic spectra representing algebraic cobordism and algebraic k -theory. *Doc. Math.*, 14:359–396, 2009.
- [IS13] Florian Ivorra and Julien Sebag. Nearby motives and motivic nearby cycles. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 19(4):879–902, 2013.
- [Kap91] M Kapranov. *On DG-modules over the de Rham complex and the vanishing cycles functor, in Algebraic Geometry (Chicago, 1989)*, volume 1479 of *Lecture Notes in Math.* Springer, Berlin, 1991.
- [Kas83] M. Kashiwara. Vanishing cycle sheaves and holonomic systems of differential equations. In *Algebraic geometry (Tokyo/Kyoto, 1982)*, volume 1016 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 134–142. Springer, Berlin, 1983.
- [Kha16] Adeel Khan. Motivic homotopy theory in derived algebraic geometry - phd thesis, available at <http://www.preschema.com/thesis/>, 2016.
- [KS05] K. Kato and T. Sato. On the conductor formula of bloch. *Publ. Math. IHES*, 100:5–151, 2005.
- [KS11] Maxim Kontsevich and Yan Soibelman. Cohomological Hall algebra, exponential Hodge structures and motivic Donaldson-Thomas invariants. *Commun. Number Theory Phys.*, 5(2):231–352, 2011.
- [LG14] Jacob Lurie and Dennis Gaitsgory. *Weil’s Conjecture for Function Fields*. December 2014.
- [LS17] Valery A. Lunts and Olaf Schnürer. Motivic vanishing cycles as a motivic measure. *Pure and Appl. Math. Quart.*, to appear:1–33, 2017.
- [Lur11] Jacob Lurie. *Derived Algebraic Geometry VIII: Quasi-Coherent Sheaves and Tannaka Duality Theorems*. November 2011.
- [Lur16] Jacob Lurie. *Higher Algebra*. March 2016.
- [LZ12a] Yifeng Liu and Weizhe Zheng. Enhanced six operations and base change theorem for sheaves on artin stacks, arxiv:1211.5948v2, 2012.

- [LZ12b] Yifeng Liu and Weizhe Zheng. Gluing restricted nerves of infinity-categories, arxiv:1211.5294v3, 2012.
- [MR071] *Théorie des intersections et théorème de Riemann-Roch*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 225. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1971. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1966–1967 (SGA 6), Dirigé par P. Berthelot, A. Grothendieck et L. Illusie. Avec la collaboration de D. Ferrand, J. P. Jouanolou, O. Jussila, S. Kleiman, M. Raynaud et J. P. Serre.
- [MR072] *Groupes de monodromie en géométrie algébrique. I*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 288. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1967–1969 (SGA 7 I), Dirigé par A. Grothendieck. Avec la collaboration de M. Raynaud et D. S. Rim.
- [MR073a] *Groupes de monodromie en géométrie algébrique. II*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 340. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1973. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1967–1969 (SGA 7 II), Dirigé par P. Deligne et N. Katz.
- [MR073b] *Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas. Tome 3*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 305. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1963–1964 (SGA 4), Dirigé par M. Artin, A. Grothendieck et J. L. Verdier. Avec la collaboration de P. Deligne et B. Saint-Donat.
- [MV99] Fabien Morel and Vladimir Voevodsky. $\mathbf{A}^1$ -homotopy theory of schemes. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (90):45–143 (2001), 1999.
- [Orl04] D. O. Orlov. Triangulated categories of singularities and D-branes in Landau-Ginzburg models. *Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova*, 246(Algebr. Geom. Metody, Svyazi i Prilozh.):240–262, 2004.
- [Orl12] Dmitri Orlov. Matrix factorizations for nonaffine LG-models. *Math. Ann.*, 353(1):95–108, 2012.
- [Pre12] Anatoly Preygel. *Thom-Sebastiani and Duality for Matrix Factorizations, and Results on the Higher Structures of the Hochschild Invariants*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2012. Thesis (Ph.D.)—Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
- [Qui73] Daniel Quillen. Higher algebraic K -theory. I. In *Algebraic K-theory, I: Higher K-theories (Proc. Conf., Battelle Memorial Inst., Seattle, Wash., 1972)*, pages 85–147. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 341. Springer, Berlin, 1973.
- [Rio10] Joël Riou. Algebraic k -theory, $\mathbf{a}^1$ -homotopy and riemann–roch theorems. *Journal of Topology*, 3(2):229–264, 2010.
- [Rob15] Marco Robalo. K -theory and the bridge from motives to noncommutative motives. *Adv. Math.*, 269:399–550, 2015.
- [Robdf] M. Robalo. Motivic homotopy theory of non-commutative spaces, phd thesis, 2014 - Available online at <http://webusers.imj-prg.fr/marco.robalo/these.pdf>.
- [Sab10] Claude Sabbah. On a twisted de rham complex, ii, 2010.
- [Seg13] Ed Segal. The closed state space of affine Landau-Ginzburg B-models. *J. Noncommut. Geom.*, 7(3):857–883, 2013.
- [Ser62] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Corps locaux*. Publications de l’Institut de Mathématique de l’Université de Nancago, VIII. Actualités Sci. Indust., No. 1296. Hermann, Paris, 1962.
- [Ser65] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Algèbre locale. Multiplicités*, volume 11 of *Cours au Collège de France, 1957–1958, rédigé par Pierre Gabriel. Seconde édition, 1965*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1965.
- [Shk14] D. Shklyarov. Non-commutative Hodge structures: towards matching categorical and geometric examples. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 366(6):2923–2974, 2014.

- [SS14] Claude Sabbah and Morihiko Saito. Kontsevich’s conjecture on an algebraic formula for vanishing cycles of local systems. *Algebr. Geom.*, 1(1):107–130, 2014.
- [Tab05] Goncalo Tabuada. Une structure de catégorie de modèles de Quillen sur la catégorie des dg-catégories. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 340(1):15–19, 2005.
- [Tab08] Gonçalo Tabuada. Higher K -theory via universal invariants. *Duke Math. J.*, 145(1):121–206, 2008.
- [Toë07] Bertrand Toën. The homotopy theory of dg -categories and derived Morita theory. *Invent. Math.*, 167(3):615–667, 2007.
- [Toë11] Bertrand Toën. Lectures on dg -categories. In *Topics in algebraic and topological K-theory*, volume 2008 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 243–302. Springer, Berlin, 2011.
- [Toe12] B. Toën. Proper local complete intersection morphisms preserve perfect complexes, 2012.
- [Toë14] Bertrand Toën. Derived algebraic geometry. *EMS Surv. Math. Sci.*, 1(2):153–240, 2014.
- [TT90] R. W. Thomason and Thomas Trobaugh. Higher algebraic K -theory of schemes and of derived categories. In *The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. III*, volume 88 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 247–435. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990.
- [TV07] Bertrand Toën and Michel Vaquié. Moduli of objects in dg -categories. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 40(3):387–444, 2007.
- [TV16] B. Toën and G. Vezzosi. The ℓ -adic trace formula for dg -categories and Bloch’s conductor conjecture. Research announcement, arXiv:1605.08941, 2016.
- [TV17] B. Toën and G. Vezzosi. A non-commutative trace formula and Bloch’s conductor conjecture. in preparation, 2017.
- [Voe96] V. Voevodsky. Homology of schemes. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 2(1):111–153, 1996.
- [Wei89] Charles A. Weibel. Homotopy algebraic K -theory. In *Algebraic K-theory and algebraic number theory (Honolulu, HI, 1987)*, volume 83 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 461–488. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989.

MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS, BONN, GERMANY

E-mail address: `anthony.blanc@ihes.fr`

INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE JUSSIEU - PARIS RIVE GAUCHE, UPMC, FRANCE

E-mail address: `marco.robalo@imj-prg.fr`

INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE TOULOUSE, UNIVERSITÉ PAUL SABATIER, FRANCE

E-mail address: `bertrand.toen@math.univ-toulouse.fr`

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA ED INFORMATICA, FIRENZE, ITALY

E-mail address: `gabriele.vezzosi@unifi.it`